

CRIMINAL JUSTICE PARTNERSHIP
ADVISORY BOARD MEETING
February 17, 2010

Board Members Present: Chairperson Ann McKown, Secretary Gayle Harris, Public Health; Carolyn Titus, Deputy County Manager; Nina Bullock, Member At Large; Tommy Perry, Probation Designee; Ellen Reckhow, Durham County Commissioner; Lao Rubert, Member At Large; Ricky Padgett, Office of the Sheriff Designee; Marcus Weeks, Member At Large; DeWarren Langley, Member At Large

Board Members Absent: Vice Chair Kenneth Titus; Ellen Holliman, Mental Health; David Saacks, District Attorney; John Fitzpatrick, Criminal Defense Attorney; Deborah Schwartz, Member At Large; Antoinette Hilliard, Public Defender's Office; Jim Bjurstrom, Police Department Designee; Marcia Owen, Victim Services

Staff: Gudrun Parmer, Jo Iverson, Robin Heath, Jonie Coss

Guests: Dianne Smith, TASC; Robert Robinson, Durham Center; Doug Fuller, Durham Center

Introductions: Chairperson Ann McKown welcomed everyone and called the meeting to order. Members and guests introduced themselves.

Minutes: November 18, 2009 minutes were presented for approval. Minutes approved without corrections.

The Durham Center: Judge McKown introduced Rob Robinson from the Durham Center. Rob spoke on "Accessing Services in Durham County". Rob began by presenting an overview of The Durham Center (TDC) and its mission. He stated TDC's main responsibilities were accessing services for clients, monitoring services, quality management, and making certain there was an effective service system in place for Durham County. He announced that in the past year, the Durham Center had about 10,000 individuals from Durham County enrolled in services, those services included substance abuse services, mental health services, and developmental disabilities services. Rob presented an overview on how to access those services, he explained that TDC provided screening and triage services, which was the portal of entry into the system open from 8 AM to 6:30 PM. Calls received after 6:30 PM were transferred to Durham Center Access, which was open 24/7. He explained that a clinician screened the individual over the phone, determined the need for and level of services, and directed the individual to a provider. Rob mentioned that the crisis facility was located on the campus of Durham Regional Hospital and created in partnership between Durham County Government, Duke University, and The Durham Center. He added funding for the facility came from Duke University, Durham County Government, NC State, and Medicaid. The facility was equipped with 16 beds and 11 crisis stabilization chairs. Rob explained the center was not a long-term facility and the focus was to get people stabilized and move them out of the system; however, those in a crisis could stay up to 23 hours and/or up to 15 days for detoxification. He added the facility was medically monitored and a physician was either on site or on call. Rob mentioned a psychiatric walk-in clinic for individuals who needed immediate psychiatric services and the Mobile Crisis Team, which operated 24/7. Rob announced that the Assessment Team was a new service under the Durham Center and operated by CJRC, available for indigent adults without insurance. Discussing other points of entry, he mentioned all court-involved individuals would go through TASC for an evaluation. Rob added there was also an assessor located at Social Services who worked with Work First, Child Protective Services, and Food Stamp clients, assessing them for substance abuse and mental health services. Additionally, CJRC

provided mental health services in the Detention Center and at the Youth Home providing screenings, assessments, and brief counseling for kids who had psychiatric issues. A mental health liaison is located at the Department of Juvenile Justice and the Department of Social Services who works with families and court staff to help connect children to services. CJRC provided court ordered substance abuse screenings and forensic evaluations for adult offenders, as well as psychological assessments for adults and juveniles. Following the presentation, board members held an opened discussion about services and past concerns regarding CJRC clients not receiving mental health services. Dianne Smith stated that those issues were resolved by TDC; however, the problem was not in setting up the appointment for the client, the trouble was with clients keeping the appointment. The board raised the question of having a mental health person on staff at CJRC and asked if that would be beneficial or was Durham Access filling that need for CJRC clients. Robin Heath indicated there had been times when clients were in crisis and CJRC was not always clear about the best way to handle the situation. Robin stated another difficulty was the willingness and cooperation from the client. Judge McKown asked for clarification on what happened to dually diagnosed individuals. Rob answered the current system was not good at identifying dual diagnosis individuals and a provider in many cases was not paid unless the individual was placed in either mental health or substance abuse. Rob mentioned that there was only one service provider who operated a dual disorder program (IDT). The board discussed the increase in individuals within the criminal justice system and at CJRC who had both substance abuse and mental health disorders.

Gudrun mentioned that Ann Oshel had presented a report to the Crime Cabinet on the top 10 offenders from the five Durham Police Department districts and reviewed the offender's criminal justice and mental health involvement, which created a lot of interest and requested a follow-up study. Gudrun asked the board if they would be interested in getting involved. CJRC staff was already involved and she was asking for a couple of volunteers from the board to participate. Lao and Judge McKown expressed interest in working with the local LME. Lao requested what it would take to implement the BART model used at the homeless shelter at CJRC. Rob stated it was a possibility and he would talk more with Gudrun and Jo about it. Gudrun added that the collaboration between CJRC and TDC had greatly improved and commended the Durham Center for their openness and willing to provide services to CJRC clients.

CJPP: Gudrun presented the Application for Continuation of Implementation Funding. She announced that she had not had any communication with DOC regarding the application process and was moving forward based on prior year deadlines. She added the application used the same amount as last year, since there was no indication to do anything differently. She mentioned that the draft line budget attached to the application would most likely change, because CJRC was still working on the County budget. Gudrun stated the overall application was the same as last year, with slight adjustments to the objectives. She provided a synopsis of the application that included program goals and objectives, program capacity data and services, memoranda of understanding and contracts. Gudrun stated the requested amount was \$183,064.00 and asked the board to review the budget. The board briefly discussed the Chamber of Commerce contract. Lao requested a summary on the listed goals and objectives. Gudrun explained each goal and the objective to meet those goals, there was a discussion about the objectives. Ms. Reckhow suggested raising the objective on program completion from its current goal of 40% to 45%. Gudrun explained that this was a hard population to serve, with difficult issues that were complex, and often hard to work with, not to mention very time consuming. The board discussed the percentage increase and agreed to raise the objective to 44%. There was a motion to approve the application with the one adjustment to the percentage increase to the second objective under reduction in probation revocations from 40% to 44%.

CJRC Program Update: Gudrun offered a program update and mentioned that renovations to the building had started. She announced a contract for CDBG funding for Project Restore received approval by the City

Council and the next cycle would start March 1. The OETI (Offender Employment Training Initiative) grant had selected Durham as one of the project sites; CJRC would be hosting an Employment Specialist who would work with probation cases. She stated the County budget process was ongoing and CJRC had received instructions from the County Manager's Office to reduce its budget by 3% for now. Gudrun mentioned the Federal Second Chance Act and the grant application solicitation for State, Local and Tribal governments. CJRC considered applying for the grant, though it required a 100% match. CJRC submitted a proposal to Duke's Sanford School of Public Policy this year for Pretrial, but the proposal was not selected. Jo reported on substance abuse treatment services and announced the new Discovery Group at CJRC. She briefly explained the goal of the group and its purpose, mentioning the high caseloads case managers and substance abuse counselors carried, and the problem with capacity, in addition to the limited number of intake slots. She stated it was taking longer for a client to enter treatment, so implementing the Discovery Group would allow clients to be engaged in a group prior to their intake. There was brief discussion on higher level of care clients referred to Durham Center for services; Rob requested that CJRC, TASC and TDC work together on serving those with higher-level issues and requested Dianne Smith with TASC to email him with information so they could get started on looking at serving those clients. Jo finished her report by providing a summary on the numbers of CJRC participants in the Family Program and pointed out the monthly report for board members to review.

News and Announcements: Gudrun announced the 18th Annual Vigil against Violence on Feb. 25 at 7PM at the Shepherd's House United Methodist Church, 107 N. Driver St. She again asked for board members interested in collaborating with the LME around mental health and substance abuse issues. Ann McKown and Lao Rubert were both interested in participating.

Gudrun announced a NCREAP Conference on March 9 and indicated CJRC could pay for the registration if any board member would like to attend.

Next CJPP Advisory Board Meeting scheduled for Wednesday, May 19, 2010, 1:00 PM.

Meeting adjourned at 2:30 PM.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE PARTNERSHIP
ADVISORY BOARD MEETING
February 17, 2010

Board Members Present: Chairperson Ann McKown, Secretary Gayle Harris, Public Health; Carolyn Titus, Deputy County Manager; Nina Bullock, Member At Large; Tommy Perry, Probation Designee; Ellen Reckhow, Durham County Commissioner; Lao Rubert, Member At Large; Ricky Padgett, Office of the Sheriff Designee; Marcus Weeks, Member At Large; DeWarren Langley, Member At Large

Board Members Absent: Vice Chair Kenneth Titus; Ellen Holliman, Mental Health; David Saacks, District Attorney; John Fitzpatrick, Criminal Defense Attorney; Deborah Schwartz, Member At Large; Antoinette Hilliard, Public Defender's Office; Jim Bjurstrom, Police Department Designee; Marcia Owen, Victim Services

Staff: Gudrun Parmer, Jo Iverson, Robin Heath, Jonie Coss

Guests: Dianne Smith, TASC; Robert Robinson, Durham Center; Doug Fuller, Durham Center

Introductions: Chairperson Ann McKown welcomed everyone and called the meeting to order. Members and guests introduced themselves.

Minutes: November 18, 2009 minutes were presented for approval. Minutes approved without corrections.

The Durham Center: Judge McKown introduced Rob Robinson from the Durham Center. Rob spoke on "Accessing Services in Durham County". Rob began by presenting an overview of The Durham Center (TDC) and its mission. He stated TDC's main responsibilities were accessing services for clients, monitoring services, quality management, and making certain there was an effective service system in place for Durham County. He announced that in the past year, the Durham Center had about 10,000 individuals from Durham County enrolled in services, those services included substance abuse services, mental health services, and developmental disabilities services. Rob presented an overview on how to access those services, he explained that TDC provided screening and triage services, which was the portal of entry into the system open from 8 AM to 6:30 PM. Calls received after 6:30 PM were transferred to Durham Center Access, which was open 24/7. He explained that a clinician screened the individual over the phone, determined the need for and level of services, and directed the individual to a provider. Rob mentioned that the crisis facility was located on the campus of Durham Regional Hospital and created in partnership between Durham County Government, Duke University, and The Durham Center. He added funding for the facility came from Duke University, Durham County Government, NC State, and Medicaid. The facility was equipped with 16 beds and 11 crisis stabilization chairs. Rob explained the center was not a long-term facility and the focus was to get people stabilized and move them out of the system; however, those in a crisis could stay up to 23 hours and/or up to 15 days for detoxification. He added the facility was medically monitored and a physician was either on site or on call. Rob mentioned a psychiatric walk-in clinic for individuals who needed immediate psychiatric services and the Mobile Crisis Team, which operated 24/7. Rob announced that the Assessment Team was a new service under the Durham Center and operated by CJRC, available for indigent adults without insurance. Discussing other points of entry, he mentioned all court-involved individuals would go through TASC for an evaluation. Rob added there was also an assessor located at Social Services who worked with Work First, Child Protective Services, and Food Stamp clients, assessing them for substance abuse and mental health services. Additionally, CJRC

provided mental health services in the Detention Center and at the Youth Home providing screenings, assessments, and brief counseling for kids who had psychiatric issues. A mental health liaison is located at the Department of Juvenile Justice and the Department of Social Services who works with families and court staff to help connect children to services. CJRC provided court ordered substance abuse screenings and forensic evaluations for adult offenders, as well as psychological assessments for adults and juveniles. Following the presentation, board members held an opened discussion about services and past concerns regarding CJRC clients not receiving mental health services. Dianne Smith stated that those issues were resolved by TDC; however, the problem was not in setting up the appointment for the client, the trouble was with clients keeping the appointment. The board raised the question of having a mental health person on staff at CJRC and asked if that would be beneficial or was Durham Access filling that need for CJRC clients. Robin Heath indicated there had been times when clients were in crisis and CJRC was not always clear about the best way to handle the situation. Robin stated another difficulty was the willingness and cooperation from the client. Judge McKown asked for clarification on what happened to dually diagnosed individuals. Rob answered the current system was not good at identifying dual diagnosis individuals and a provider in many cases was not paid unless the individual was placed in either mental health or substance abuse. Rob mentioned that there was only one service provider who operated a dual disorder program (IDT). The board discussed the increase in individuals within the criminal justice system and at CJRC who had both substance abuse and mental health disorders.

Gudrun mentioned that Ann Oshel had presented a report to the Crime Cabinet on the top 10 offenders from the five Durham Police Department districts and reviewed the offender's criminal justice and mental health involvement, which created a lot of interest and requested a follow-up study. Gudrun asked the board if they would be interested in getting involved. CJRC staff was already involved and she was asking for a couple of volunteers from the board to participate. Lao and Judge McKown expressed interest in working with the local LME. Lao requested what it would take to implement the BART model used at the homeless shelter at CJRC. Rob stated it was a possibility and he would talk more with Gudrun and Jo about it. Gudrun added that the collaboration between CJRC and TDC had greatly improved and commended the Durham Center for their openness and willing to provide services to CJRC clients.

CJPP: Gudrun presented the Application for Continuation of Implementation Funding. She announced that she had not had any communication with DOC regarding the application process and was moving forward based on prior year deadlines. She added the application used the same amount as last year, since there was no indication to do anything differently. She mentioned that the draft line budget attached to the application would most likely change, because CJRC was still working on the County budget. Gudrun stated the overall application was the same as last year, with slight adjustments to the objectives. She provided a synopsis of the application that included program goals and objectives, program capacity data and services, memoranda of understanding and contracts. Gudrun stated the requested amount was \$183,064.00 and asked the board to review the budget. The board briefly discussed the Chamber of Commerce contract. Lao requested a summary on the listed goals and objectives. Gudrun explained each goal and the objective to meet those goals, there was a discussion about the objectives. Ms. Reckhow suggested raising the objective on program completion from its current goal of 40% to 45%. Gudrun explained that this was a hard population to serve, with difficult issues that were complex, and often hard to work with, not to mention very time consuming. The board discussed the percentage increase and agreed to raise the objective to 44%. There was a motion to approve the application with the one adjustment to the percentage increase to the second objective under reduction in probation revocations from 40% to 44%.

CJRC Program Update: Gudrun offered a program update and mentioned that renovations to the building had started. She announced a contract for CDBG funding for Project Restore received approval by the City

Council and the next cycle would start March 1. The OETI (Offender Employment Training Initiative) grant had selected Durham as one of the project sites; CJRC would be hosting an Employment Specialist who would work with probation cases. She stated the County budget process was ongoing and CJRC had received instructions from the County Manager's Office to reduce its budget by 3% for now. Gudrun mentioned the Federal Second Chance Act and the grant application solicitation for State, Local and Tribal governments. CJRC considered applying for the grant, though it required a 100% match. CJRC submitted a proposal to Duke's Sanford School of Public Policy this year for Pretrial, but the proposal was not selected. Jo reported on substance abuse treatment services and announced the new Discovery Group at CJRC. She briefly explained the goal of the group and its purpose, mentioning the high caseloads case managers and substance abuse counselors carried, and the problem with capacity, in addition to the limited number of intake slots. She stated it was taking longer for a client to enter treatment, so implementing the Discovery Group would allow clients to be engaged in a group prior to their intake. There was brief discussion on higher level of care clients referred to Durham Center for services; Rob requested that CJRC, TASC and TDC work together on serving those with higher-level issues and requested Dianne Smith with TASC to email him with information so they could get started on looking at serving those clients. Jo finished her report by providing a summary on the numbers of CJRC participants in the Family Program and pointed out the monthly report for board members to review.

News and Announcements: Gudrun announced the 18th Annual Vigil against Violence on Feb. 25 at 7PM at the Shepherd's House United Methodist Church, 107 N. Driver St. She again asked for board members interested in collaborating with the LME around mental health and substance abuse issues. Ann McKown and Lao Rubert were both interested in participating.

Gudrun announced a NCREAP Conference on March 9 and indicated CJRC could pay for the registration if any board member would like to attend.

Next CJPP Advisory Board Meeting scheduled for Wednesday, May 19, 2010, 1:00 PM.

Meeting adjourned at 2:30 PM.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE PARTNERSHIP
ADVISORY BOARD MEETING
February 17, 2010

Board Members Present: Chairperson Ann McKown, Secretary Gayle Harris, Public Health; Carolyn Titus, Deputy County Manager; Nina Bullock, Member At Large; Tommy Perry, Probation Designee; Ellen Reckhow, Durham County Commissioner; Lao Rubert, Member At Large; Ricky Padgett, Office of the Sheriff Designee; Marcus Weeks, Member At Large; DeWarren Langley, Member At Large

Board Members Absent: Vice Chair Kenneth Titus; Ellen Holliman, Mental Health; David Saacks, District Attorney; John Fitzpatrick, Criminal Defense Attorney; Deborah Schwartz, Member At Large; Antoinette Hilliard, Public Defender's Office; Jim Bjurstrom, Police Department Designee; Marcia Owen, Victim Services

Staff: Gudrun Parmer, Jo Iverson, Robin Heath, Jonie Coss

Guests: Dianne Smith, TASC; Robert Robinson, Durham Center; Doug Fuller, Durham Center

Introductions: Chairperson Ann McKown welcomed everyone and called the meeting to order. Members and guests introduced themselves.

Minutes: November 18, 2009 minutes were presented for approval. Minutes approved without corrections.

The Durham Center: Judge McKown introduced Rob Robinson from the Durham Center. Rob spoke on "Accessing Services in Durham County". Rob began by presenting an overview of The Durham Center (TDC) and its mission. He stated TDC's main responsibilities were accessing services for clients, monitoring services, quality management, and making certain there was an effective service system in place for Durham County. He announced that in the past year, the Durham Center had about 10,000 individuals from Durham County enrolled in services, those services included substance abuse services, mental health services, and developmental disabilities services. Rob presented an overview on how to access those services, he explained that TDC provided screening and triage services, which was the portal of entry into the system open from 8 AM to 6:30 PM. Calls received after 6:30 PM were transferred to Durham Center Access, which was open 24/7. He explained that a clinician screened the individual over the phone, determined the need for and level of services, and directed the individual to a provider. Rob mentioned that the crisis facility was located on the campus of Durham Regional Hospital and created in partnership between Durham County Government, Duke University, and The Durham Center. He added funding for the facility came from Duke University, Durham County Government, NC State, and Medicaid. The facility was equipped with 16 beds and 11 crisis stabilization chairs. Rob explained the center was not a long-term facility and the focus was to get people stabilized and move them out of the system; however, those in a crisis could stay up to 23 hours and/or up to 15 days for detoxification. He added the facility was medically monitored and a physician was either on site or on call. Rob mentioned a psychiatric walk-in clinic for individuals who needed immediate psychiatric services and the Mobile Crisis Team, which operated 24/7. Rob announced that the Assessment Team was a new service under the Durham Center and operated by CJRC, available for indigent adults without insurance. Discussing other points of entry, he mentioned all court-involved individuals would go through TASC for an evaluation. Rob added there was also an assessor located at Social Services who worked with Work First, Child Protective Services, and Food Stamp clients, assessing them for substance abuse and mental health services. Additionally, CJRC

provided mental health services in the Detention Center and at the Youth Home providing screenings, assessments, and brief counseling for kids who had psychiatric issues. A mental health liaison is located at the Department of Juvenile Justice and the Department of Social Services who works with families and court staff to help connect children to services. CJRC provided court ordered substance abuse screenings and forensic evaluations for adult offenders, as well as psychological assessments for adults and juveniles. Following the presentation, board members held an opened discussion about services and past concerns regarding CJRC clients not receiving mental health services. Dianne Smith stated that those issues were resolved by TDC; however, the problem was not in setting up the appointment for the client, the trouble was with clients keeping the appointment. The board raised the question of having a mental health person on staff at CJRC and asked if that would be beneficial or was Durham Access filling that need for CJRC clients. Robin Heath indicated there had been times when clients were in crisis and CJRC was not always clear about the best way to handle the situation. Robin stated another difficulty was the willingness and cooperation from the client. Judge McKown asked for clarification on what happened to dually diagnosed individuals. Rob answered the current system was not good at identifying dual diagnosis individuals and a provider in many cases was not paid unless the individual was placed in either mental health or substance abuse. Rob mentioned that there was only one service provider who operated a dual disorder program (IDT). The board discussed the increase in individuals within the criminal justice system and at CJRC who had both substance abuse and mental health disorders.

Gudrun mentioned that Ann Oshel had presented a report to the Crime Cabinet on the top 10 offenders from the five Durham Police Department districts and reviewed the offender's criminal justice and mental health involvement, which created a lot of interest and requested a follow-up study. Gudrun asked the board if they would be interested in getting involved. CJRC staff was already involved and she was asking for a couple of volunteers from the board to participate. Lao and Judge McKown expressed interest in working with the local LME. Lao requested what it would take to implement the BART model used at the homeless shelter at CJRC. Rob stated it was a possibility and he would talk more with Gudrun and Jo about it. Gudrun added that the collaboration between CJRC and TDC had greatly improved and commended the Durham Center for their openness and willing to provide services to CJRC clients.

CJPP: Gudrun presented the Application for Continuation of Implementation Funding. She announced that she had not had any communication with DOC regarding the application process and was moving forward based on prior year deadlines. She added the application used the same amount as last year, since there was no indication to do anything differently. She mentioned that the draft line budget attached to the application would most likely change, because CJRC was still working on the County budget. Gudrun stated the overall application was the same as last year, with slight adjustments to the objectives. She provided a synopsis of the application that included program goals and objectives, program capacity data and services, memoranda of understanding and contracts. Gudrun stated the requested amount was \$183,064.00 and asked the board to review the budget. The board briefly discussed the Chamber of Commerce contract. Lao requested a summary on the listed goals and objectives. Gudrun explained each goal and the objective to meet those goals, there was a discussion about the objectives. Ms. Reckhow suggested raising the objective on program completion from its current goal of 40% to 45%. Gudrun explained that this was a hard population to serve, with difficult issues that were complex, and often hard to work with, not to mention very time consuming. The board discussed the percentage increase and agreed to raise the objective to 44%. There was a motion to approve the application with the one adjustment to the percentage increase to the second objective under reduction in probation revocations from 40% to 44%.

CJRC Program Update: Gudrun offered a program update and mentioned that renovations to the building had started. She announced a contract for CDBG funding for Project Restore received approval by the City

Council and the next cycle would start March 1. The OETI (Offender Employment Training Initiative) grant had selected Durham as one of the project sites; CJRC would be hosting an Employment Specialist who would work with probation cases. She stated the County budget process was ongoing and CJRC had received instructions from the County Manager's Office to reduce its budget by 3% for now. Gudrun mentioned the Federal Second Chance Act and the grant application solicitation for State, Local and Tribal governments. CJRC considered applying for the grant, though it required a 100% match. CJRC submitted a proposal to Duke's Sanford School of Public Policy this year for Pretrial, but the proposal was not selected. Jo reported on substance abuse treatment services and announced the new Discovery Group at CJRC. She briefly explained the goal of the group and its purpose, mentioning the high caseloads case managers and substance abuse counselors carried, and the problem with capacity, in addition to the limited number of intake slots. She stated it was taking longer for a client to enter treatment, so implementing the Discovery Group would allow clients to be engaged in a group prior to their intake. There was brief discussion on higher level of care clients referred to Durham Center for services; Rob requested that CJRC, TASC and TDC work together on serving those with higher-level issues and requested Dianne Smith with TASC to email him with information so they could get started on looking at serving those clients. Jo finished her report by providing a summary on the numbers of CJRC participants in the Family Program and pointed out the monthly report for board members to review.

News and Announcements: Gudrun announced the 18th Annual Vigil against Violence on Feb. 25 at 7PM at the Shepherd's House United Methodist Church, 107 N. Driver St. She again asked for board members interested in collaborating with the LME around mental health and substance abuse issues. Ann McKown and Lao Rubert were both interested in participating.

Gudrun announced a NCREAP Conference on March 9 and indicated CJRC could pay for the registration if any board member would like to attend.

Next CJPP Advisory Board Meeting scheduled for Wednesday, May 19, 2010, 1:00 PM.

Meeting adjourned at 2:30 PM.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE PARTNERSHIP
ADVISORY BOARD MEETING
February 17, 2010

Board Members Present: Chairperson Ann McKown, Secretary Gayle Harris, Public Health; Carolyn Titus, Deputy County Manager; Nina Bullock, Member At Large; Tommy Perry, Probation Designee; Ellen Reckhow, Durham County Commissioner; Lao Rubert, Member At Large; Ricky Padgett, Office of the Sheriff Designee; Marcus Weeks, Member At Large; DeWarren Langley, Member At Large

Board Members Absent: Vice Chair Kenneth Titus; Ellen Holliman, Mental Health; David Saacks, District Attorney; John Fitzpatrick, Criminal Defense Attorney; Deborah Schwartz, Member At Large; Antoinette Hilliard, Public Defender's Office; Jim Bjurstrom, Police Department Designee; Marcia Owen, Victim Services

Staff: Gudrun Parmer, Jo Iverson, Robin Heath, Jonie Coss

Guests: Dianne Smith, TASC; Robert Robinson, Durham Center; Doug Fuller, Durham Center

Introductions: Chairperson Ann McKown welcomed everyone and called the meeting to order. Members and guests introduced themselves.

Minutes: November 18, 2009 minutes were presented for approval. Minutes approved without corrections.

The Durham Center: Judge McKown introduced Rob Robinson from the Durham Center. Rob spoke on "Accessing Services in Durham County". Rob began by presenting an overview of The Durham Center (TDC) and its mission. He stated TDC's main responsibilities were accessing services for clients, monitoring services, quality management, and making certain there was an effective service system in place for Durham County. He announced that in the past year, the Durham Center had about 10,000 individuals from Durham County enrolled in services, those services included substance abuse services, mental health services, and developmental disabilities services. Rob presented an overview on how to access those services, he explained that TDC provided screening and triage services, which was the portal of entry into the system open from 8 AM to 6:30 PM. Calls received after 6:30 PM were transferred to Durham Center Access, which was open 24/7. He explained that a clinician screened the individual over the phone, determined the need for and level of services, and directed the individual to a provider. Rob mentioned that the crisis facility was located on the campus of Durham Regional Hospital and created in partnership between Durham County Government, Duke University, and The Durham Center. He added funding for the facility came from Duke University, Durham County Government, NC State, and Medicaid. The facility was equipped with 16 beds and 11 crisis stabilization chairs. Rob explained the center was not a long-term facility and the focus was to get people stabilized and move them out of the system; however, those in a crisis could stay up to 23 hours and/or up to 15 days for detoxification. He added the facility was medically monitored and a physician was either on site or on call. Rob mentioned a psychiatric walk-in clinic for individuals who needed immediate psychiatric services and the Mobile Crisis Team, which operated 24/7. Rob announced that the Assessment Team was a new service under the Durham Center and operated by CJRC, available for indigent adults without insurance. Discussing other points of entry, he mentioned all court-involved individuals would go through TASC for an evaluation. Rob added there was also an assessor located at Social Services who worked with Work First, Child Protective Services, and Food Stamp clients, assessing them for substance abuse and mental health services. Additionally, CJRC

provided mental health services in the Detention Center and at the Youth Home providing screenings, assessments, and brief counseling for kids who had psychiatric issues. A mental health liaison is located at the Department of Juvenile Justice and the Department of Social Services who works with families and court staff to help connect children to services. CJRC provided court ordered substance abuse screenings and forensic evaluations for adult offenders, as well as psychological assessments for adults and juveniles. Following the presentation, board members held an opened discussion about services and past concerns regarding CJRC clients not receiving mental health services. Dianne Smith stated that those issues were resolved by TDC; however, the problem was not in setting up the appointment for the client, the trouble was with clients keeping the appointment. The board raised the question of having a mental health person on staff at CJRC and asked if that would be beneficial or was Durham Access filling that need for CJRC clients. Robin Heath indicated there had been times when clients were in crisis and CJRC was not always clear about the best way to handle the situation. Robin stated another difficulty was the willingness and cooperation from the client. Judge McKown asked for clarification on what happened to dually diagnosed individuals. Rob answered the current system was not good at identifying dual diagnosis individuals and a provider in many cases was not paid unless the individual was placed in either mental health or substance abuse. Rob mentioned that there was only one service provider who operated a dual disorder program (IDT). The board discussed the increase in individuals within the criminal justice system and at CJRC who had both substance abuse and mental health disorders.

Gudrun mentioned that Ann Oshel had presented a report to the Crime Cabinet on the top 10 offenders from the five Durham Police Department districts and reviewed the offender's criminal justice and mental health involvement, which created a lot of interest and requested a follow-up study. Gudrun asked the board if they would be interested in getting involved. CJRC staff was already involved and she was asking for a couple of volunteers from the board to participate. Lao and Judge McKown expressed interest in working with the local LME. Lao requested what it would take to implement the BART model used at the homeless shelter at CJRC. Rob stated it was a possibility and he would talk more with Gudrun and Jo about it. Gudrun added that the collaboration between CJRC and TDC had greatly improved and commended the Durham Center for their openness and willing to provide services to CJRC clients.

CJPP: Gudrun presented the Application for Continuation of Implementation Funding. She announced that she had not had any communication with DOC regarding the application process and was moving forward based on prior year deadlines. She added the application used the same amount as last year, since there was no indication to do anything differently. She mentioned that the draft line budget attached to the application would most likely change, because CJRC was still working on the County budget. Gudrun stated the overall application was the same as last year, with slight adjustments to the objectives. She provided a synopsis of the application that included program goals and objectives, program capacity data and services, memoranda of understanding and contracts. Gudrun stated the requested amount was \$183,064.00 and asked the board to review the budget. The board briefly discussed the Chamber of Commerce contract. Lao requested a summary on the listed goals and objectives. Gudrun explained each goal and the objective to meet those goals, there was a discussion about the objectives. Ms. Reckhow suggested raising the objective on program completion from its current goal of 40% to 45%. Gudrun explained that this was a hard population to serve, with difficult issues that were complex, and often hard to work with, not to mention very time consuming. The board discussed the percentage increase and agreed to raise the objective to 44%. There was a motion to approve the application with the one adjustment to the percentage increase to the second objective under reduction in probation revocations from 40% to 44%.

CJRC Program Update: Gudrun offered a program update and mentioned that renovations to the building had started. She announced a contract for CDBG funding for Project Restore received approval by the City

Council and the next cycle would start March 1. The OETI (Offender Employment Training Initiative) grant had selected Durham as one of the project sites; CJRC would be hosting an Employment Specialist who would work with probation cases. She stated the County budget process was ongoing and CJRC had received instructions from the County Manager's Office to reduce its budget by 3% for now. Gudrun mentioned the Federal Second Chance Act and the grant application solicitation for State, Local and Tribal governments. CJRC considered applying for the grant, though it required a 100% match. CJRC submitted a proposal to Duke's Sanford School of Public Policy this year for Pretrial, but the proposal was not selected. Jo reported on substance abuse treatment services and announced the new Discovery Group at CJRC. She briefly explained the goal of the group and its purpose, mentioning the high caseloads case managers and substance abuse counselors carried, and the problem with capacity, in addition to the limited number of intake slots. She stated it was taking longer for a client to enter treatment, so implementing the Discovery Group would allow clients to be engaged in a group prior to their intake. There was brief discussion on higher level of care clients referred to Durham Center for services; Rob requested that CJRC, TASC and TDC work together on serving those with higher-level issues and requested Dianne Smith with TASC to email him with information so they could get started on looking at serving those clients. Jo finished her report by providing a summary on the numbers of CJRC participants in the Family Program and pointed out the monthly report for board members to review.

News and Announcements: Gudrun announced the 18th Annual Vigil against Violence on Feb. 25 at 7PM at the Shepherd's House United Methodist Church, 107 N. Driver St. She again asked for board members interested in collaborating with the LME around mental health and substance abuse issues. Ann McKown and Lao Rubert were both interested in participating.

Gudrun announced a NCREAP Conference on March 9 and indicated CJRC could pay for the registration if any board member would like to attend.

Next CJPP Advisory Board Meeting scheduled for Wednesday, May 19, 2010, 1:00 PM.

Meeting adjourned at 2:30 PM.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE PARTNERSHIP
ADVISORY BOARD MEETING
February 17, 2010

Board Members Present: Chairperson Ann McKown, Secretary Gayle Harris, Public Health; Carolyn Titus, Deputy County Manager; Nina Bullock, Member At Large; Tommy Perry, Probation Designee; Ellen Reckhow, Durham County Commissioner; Lao Rubert, Member At Large; Ricky Padgett, Office of the Sheriff Designee; Marcus Weeks, Member At Large; DeWarren Langley, Member At Large

Board Members Absent: Vice Chair Kenneth Titus; Ellen Holliman, Mental Health; David Saacks, District Attorney; John Fitzpatrick, Criminal Defense Attorney; Deborah Schwartz, Member At Large; Antoinette Hilliard, Public Defender's Office; Jim Bjurstrom, Police Department Designee; Marcia Owen, Victim Services

Staff: Gudrun Parmer, Jo Iverson, Robin Heath, Jonie Coss

Guests: Dianne Smith, TASC; Robert Robinson, Durham Center; Doug Fuller, Durham Center

Introductions: Chairperson Ann McKown welcomed everyone and called the meeting to order. Members and guests introduced themselves.

Minutes: November 18, 2009 minutes were presented for approval. Minutes approved without corrections.

The Durham Center: Judge McKown introduced Rob Robinson from the Durham Center. Rob spoke on "Accessing Services in Durham County". Rob began by presenting an overview of The Durham Center (TDC) and its mission. He stated TDC's main responsibilities were accessing services for clients, monitoring services, quality management, and making certain there was an effective service system in place for Durham County. He announced that in the past year, the Durham Center had about 10,000 individuals from Durham County enrolled in services, those services included substance abuse services, mental health services, and developmental disabilities services. Rob presented an overview on how to access those services, he explained that TDC provided screening and triage services, which was the portal of entry into the system open from 8 AM to 6:30 PM. Calls received after 6:30 PM were transferred to Durham Center Access, which was open 24/7. He explained that a clinician screened the individual over the phone, determined the need for and level of services, and directed the individual to a provider. Rob mentioned that the crisis facility was located on the campus of Durham Regional Hospital and created in partnership between Durham County Government, Duke University, and The Durham Center. He added funding for the facility came from Duke University, Durham County Government, NC State, and Medicaid. The facility was equipped with 16 beds and 11 crisis stabilization chairs. Rob explained the center was not a long-term facility and the focus was to get people stabilized and move them out of the system; however, those in a crisis could stay up to 23 hours and/or up to 15 days for detoxification. He added the facility was medically monitored and a physician was either on site or on call. Rob mentioned a psychiatric walk-in clinic for individuals who needed immediate psychiatric services and the Mobile Crisis Team, which operated 24/7. Rob announced that the Assessment Team was a new service under the Durham Center and operated by CJRC, available for indigent adults without insurance. Discussing other points of entry, he mentioned all court-involved individuals would go through TASC for an evaluation. Rob added there was also an assessor located at Social Services who worked with Work First, Child Protective Services, and Food Stamp clients, assessing them for substance abuse and mental health services. Additionally, CJRC

provided mental health services in the Detention Center and at the Youth Home providing screenings, assessments, and brief counseling for kids who had psychiatric issues. A mental health liaison is located at the Department of Juvenile Justice and the Department of Social Services who works with families and court staff to help connect children to services. CJRC provided court ordered substance abuse screenings and forensic evaluations for adult offenders, as well as psychological assessments for adults and juveniles. Following the presentation, board members held an opened discussion about services and past concerns regarding CJRC clients not receiving mental health services. Dianne Smith stated that those issues were resolved by TDC; however, the problem was not in setting up the appointment for the client, the trouble was with clients keeping the appointment. The board raised the question of having a mental health person on staff at CJRC and asked if that would be beneficial or was Durham Access filling that need for CJRC clients. Robin Heath indicated there had been times when clients were in crisis and CJRC was not always clear about the best way to handle the situation. Robin stated another difficulty was the willingness and cooperation from the client. Judge McKown asked for clarification on what happened to dually diagnosed individuals. Rob answered the current system was not good at identifying dual diagnosis individuals and a provider in many cases was not paid unless the individual was placed in either mental health or substance abuse. Rob mentioned that there was only one service provider who operated a dual disorder program (IDT). The board discussed the increase in individuals within the criminal justice system and at CJRC who had both substance abuse and mental health disorders.

Gudrun mentioned that Ann Oshel had presented a report to the Crime Cabinet on the top 10 offenders from the five Durham Police Department districts and reviewed the offender's criminal justice and mental health involvement, which created a lot of interest and requested a follow-up study. Gudrun asked the board if they would be interested in getting involved. CJRC staff was already involved and she was asking for a couple of volunteers from the board to participate. Lao and Judge McKown expressed interest in working with the local LME. Lao requested what it would take to implement the BART model used at the homeless shelter at CJRC. Rob stated it was a possibility and he would talk more with Gudrun and Jo about it. Gudrun added that the collaboration between CJRC and TDC had greatly improved and commended the Durham Center for their openness and willing to provide services to CJRC clients.

CJPP: Gudrun presented the Application for Continuation of Implementation Funding. She announced that she had not had any communication with DOC regarding the application process and was moving forward based on prior year deadlines. She added the application used the same amount as last year, since there was no indication to do anything differently. She mentioned that the draft line budget attached to the application would most likely change, because CJRC was still working on the County budget. Gudrun stated the overall application was the same as last year, with slight adjustments to the objectives. She provided a synopsis of the application that included program goals and objectives, program capacity data and services, memoranda of understanding and contracts. Gudrun stated the requested amount was \$183,064.00 and asked the board to review the budget. The board briefly discussed the Chamber of Commerce contract. Lao requested a summary on the listed goals and objectives. Gudrun explained each goal and the objective to meet those goals, there was a discussion about the objectives. Ms. Reckhow suggested raising the objective on program completion from its current goal of 40% to 45%. Gudrun explained that this was a hard population to serve, with difficult issues that were complex, and often hard to work with, not to mention very time consuming. The board discussed the percentage increase and agreed to raise the objective to 44%. There was a motion to approve the application with the one adjustment to the percentage increase to the second objective under reduction in probation revocations from 40% to 44%.

CJRC Program Update: Gudrun offered a program update and mentioned that renovations to the building had started. She announced a contract for CDBG funding for Project Restore received approval by the City

Council and the next cycle would start March 1. The OETI (Offender Employment Training Initiative) grant had selected Durham as one of the project sites; CJRC would be hosting an Employment Specialist who would work with probation cases. She stated the County budget process was ongoing and CJRC had received instructions from the County Manager's Office to reduce its budget by 3% for now. Gudrun mentioned the Federal Second Chance Act and the grant application solicitation for State, Local and Tribal governments. CJRC considered applying for the grant, though it required a 100% match. CJRC submitted a proposal to Duke's Sanford School of Public Policy this year for Pretrial, but the proposal was not selected. Jo reported on substance abuse treatment services and announced the new Discovery Group at CJRC. She briefly explained the goal of the group and its purpose, mentioning the high caseloads case managers and substance abuse counselors carried, and the problem with capacity, in addition to the limited number of intake slots. She stated it was taking longer for a client to enter treatment, so implementing the Discovery Group would allow clients to be engaged in a group prior to their intake. There was brief discussion on higher level of care clients referred to Durham Center for services; Rob requested that CJRC, TASC and TDC work together on serving those with higher-level issues and requested Dianne Smith with TASC to email him with information so they could get started on looking at serving those clients. Jo finished her report by providing a summary on the numbers of CJRC participants in the Family Program and pointed out the monthly report for board members to review.

News and Announcements: Gudrun announced the 18th Annual Vigil against Violence on Feb. 25 at 7PM at the Shepherd's House United Methodist Church, 107 N. Driver St. She again asked for board members interested in collaborating with the LME around mental health and substance abuse issues. Ann McKown and Lao Rubert were both interested in participating.

Gudrun announced a NCREAP Conference on March 9 and indicated CJRC could pay for the registration if any board member would like to attend.

Next CJPP Advisory Board Meeting scheduled for Wednesday, May 19, 2010, 1:00 PM.

Meeting adjourned at 2:30 PM.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE PARTNERSHIP
ADVISORY BOARD MEETING
February 17, 2010

Board Members Present: Chairperson Ann McKown, Secretary Gayle Harris, Public Health; Carolyn Titus, Deputy County Manager; Nina Bullock, Member At Large; Tommy Perry, Probation Designee; Ellen Reckhow, Durham County Commissioner; Lao Rubert, Member At Large; Ricky Padgett, Office of the Sheriff Designee; Marcus Weeks, Member At Large; DeWarren Langley, Member At Large

Board Members Absent: Vice Chair Kenneth Titus; Ellen Holliman, Mental Health; David Saacks, District Attorney; John Fitzpatrick, Criminal Defense Attorney; Deborah Schwartz, Member At Large; Antoinette Hilliard, Public Defender's Office; Jim Bjurstrom, Police Department Designee; Marcia Owen, Victim Services

Staff: Gudrun Parmer, Jo Iverson, Robin Heath, Jonie Coss

Guests: Dianne Smith, TASC; Robert Robinson, Durham Center; Doug Fuller, Durham Center

Introductions: Chairperson Ann McKown welcomed everyone and called the meeting to order. Members and guests introduced themselves.

Minutes: November 18, 2009 minutes were presented for approval. Minutes approved without corrections.

The Durham Center: Judge McKown introduced Rob Robinson from the Durham Center. Rob spoke on "Accessing Services in Durham County". Rob began by presenting an overview of The Durham Center (TDC) and its mission. He stated TDC's main responsibilities were accessing services for clients, monitoring services, quality management, and making certain there was an effective service system in place for Durham County. He announced that in the past year, the Durham Center had about 10,000 individuals from Durham County enrolled in services, those services included substance abuse services, mental health services, and developmental disabilities services. Rob presented an overview on how to access those services, he explained that TDC provided screening and triage services, which was the portal of entry into the system open from 8 AM to 6:30 PM. Calls received after 6:30 PM were transferred to Durham Center Access, which was open 24/7. He explained that a clinician screened the individual over the phone, determined the need for and level of services, and directed the individual to a provider. Rob mentioned that the crisis facility was located on the campus of Durham Regional Hospital and created in partnership between Durham County Government, Duke University, and The Durham Center. He added funding for the facility came from Duke University, Durham County Government, NC State, and Medicaid. The facility was equipped with 16 beds and 11 crisis stabilization chairs. Rob explained the center was not a long-term facility and the focus was to get people stabilized and move them out of the system; however, those in a crisis could stay up to 23 hours and/or up to 15 days for detoxification. He added the facility was medically monitored and a physician was either on site or on call. Rob mentioned a psychiatric walk-in clinic for individuals who needed immediate psychiatric services and the Mobile Crisis Team, which operated 24/7. Rob announced that the Assessment Team was a new service under the Durham Center and operated by CJRC, available for indigent adults without insurance. Discussing other points of entry, he mentioned all court-involved individuals would go through TASC for an evaluation. Rob added there was also an assessor located at Social Services who worked with Work First, Child Protective Services, and Food Stamp clients, assessing them for substance abuse and mental health services. Additionally, CJRC

provided mental health services in the Detention Center and at the Youth Home providing screenings, assessments, and brief counseling for kids who had psychiatric issues. A mental health liaison is located at the Department of Juvenile Justice and the Department of Social Services who works with families and court staff to help connect children to services. CJRC provided court ordered substance abuse screenings and forensic evaluations for adult offenders, as well as psychological assessments for adults and juveniles. Following the presentation, board members held an opened discussion about services and past concerns regarding CJRC clients not receiving mental health services. Dianne Smith stated that those issues were resolved by TDC; however, the problem was not in setting up the appointment for the client, the trouble was with clients keeping the appointment. The board raised the question of having a mental health person on staff at CJRC and asked if that would be beneficial or was Durham Access filling that need for CJRC clients. Robin Heath indicated there had been times when clients were in crisis and CJRC was not always clear about the best way to handle the situation. Robin stated another difficulty was the willingness and cooperation from the client. Judge McKown asked for clarification on what happened to dually diagnosed individuals. Rob answered the current system was not good at identifying dual diagnosis individuals and a provider in many cases was not paid unless the individual was placed in either mental health or substance abuse. Rob mentioned that there was only one service provider who operated a dual disorder program (IDT). The board discussed the increase in individuals within the criminal justice system and at CJRC who had both substance abuse and mental health disorders.

Gudrun mentioned that Ann Oshel had presented a report to the Crime Cabinet on the top 10 offenders from the five Durham Police Department districts and reviewed the offender's criminal justice and mental health involvement, which created a lot of interest and requested a follow-up study. Gudrun asked the board if they would be interested in getting involved. CJRC staff was already involved and she was asking for a couple of volunteers from the board to participate. Lao and Judge McKown expressed interest in working with the local LME. Lao requested what it would take to implement the BART model used at the homeless shelter at CJRC. Rob stated it was a possibility and he would talk more with Gudrun and Jo about it. Gudrun added that the collaboration between CJRC and TDC had greatly improved and commended the Durham Center for their openness and willing to provide services to CJRC clients.

CJPP: Gudrun presented the Application for Continuation of Implementation Funding. She announced that she had not had any communication with DOC regarding the application process and was moving forward based on prior year deadlines. She added the application used the same amount as last year, since there was no indication to do anything differently. She mentioned that the draft line budget attached to the application would most likely change, because CJRC was still working on the County budget. Gudrun stated the overall application was the same as last year, with slight adjustments to the objectives. She provided a synopsis of the application that included program goals and objectives, program capacity data and services, memoranda of understanding and contracts. Gudrun stated the requested amount was \$183,064.00 and asked the board to review the budget. The board briefly discussed the Chamber of Commerce contract. Lao requested a summary on the listed goals and objectives. Gudrun explained each goal and the objective to meet those goals, there was a discussion about the objectives. Ms. Reckhow suggested raising the objective on program completion from its current goal of 40% to 45%. Gudrun explained that this was a hard population to serve, with difficult issues that were complex, and often hard to work with, not to mention very time consuming. The board discussed the percentage increase and agreed to raise the objective to 44%. There was a motion to approve the application with the one adjustment to the percentage increase to the second objective under reduction in probation revocations from 40% to 44%.

CJRC Program Update: Gudrun offered a program update and mentioned that renovations to the building had started. She announced a contract for CDBG funding for Project Restore received approval by the City

Council and the next cycle would start March 1. The OETI (Offender Employment Training Initiative) grant had selected Durham as one of the project sites; CJRC would be hosting an Employment Specialist who would work with probation cases. She stated the County budget process was ongoing and CJRC had received instructions from the County Manager's Office to reduce its budget by 3% for now. Gudrun mentioned the Federal Second Chance Act and the grant application solicitation for State, Local and Tribal governments. CJRC considered applying for the grant, though it required a 100% match. CJRC submitted a proposal to Duke's Sanford School of Public Policy this year for Pretrial, but the proposal was not selected. Jo reported on substance abuse treatment services and announced the new Discovery Group at CJRC. She briefly explained the goal of the group and its purpose, mentioning the high caseloads case managers and substance abuse counselors carried, and the problem with capacity, in addition to the limited number of intake slots. She stated it was taking longer for a client to enter treatment, so implementing the Discovery Group would allow clients to be engaged in a group prior to their intake. There was brief discussion on higher level of care clients referred to Durham Center for services; Rob requested that CJRC, TASC and TDC work together on serving those with higher-level issues and requested Dianne Smith with TASC to email him with information so they could get started on looking at serving those clients. Jo finished her report by providing a summary on the numbers of CJRC participants in the Family Program and pointed out the monthly report for board members to review.

News and Announcements: Gudrun announced the 18th Annual Vigil against Violence on Feb. 25 at 7PM at the Shepherd's House United Methodist Church, 107 N. Driver St. She again asked for board members interested in collaborating with the LME around mental health and substance abuse issues. Ann McKown and Lao Rubert were both interested in participating.

Gudrun announced a NCREAP Conference on March 9 and indicated CJRC could pay for the registration if any board member would like to attend.

Next CJPP Advisory Board Meeting scheduled for Wednesday, May 19, 2010, 1:00 PM.

Meeting adjourned at 2:30 PM.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE PARTNERSHIP
ADVISORY BOARD MEETING
February 17, 2010

Board Members Present: Chairperson Ann McKown, Secretary Gayle Harris, Public Health; Carolyn Titus, Deputy County Manager; Nina Bullock, Member At Large; Tommy Perry, Probation Designee; Ellen Reckhow, Durham County Commissioner; Lao Rubert, Member At Large; Ricky Padgett, Office of the Sheriff Designee; Marcus Weeks, Member At Large; DeWarren Langley, Member At Large

Board Members Absent: Vice Chair Kenneth Titus; Ellen Holliman, Mental Health; David Saacks, District Attorney; John Fitzpatrick, Criminal Defense Attorney; Deborah Schwartz, Member At Large; Antoinette Hilliard, Public Defender's Office; Jim Bjurstrom, Police Department Designee; Marcia Owen, Victim Services

Staff: Gudrun Parmer, Jo Iverson, Robin Heath, Jonie Coss

Guests: Dianne Smith, TASC; Robert Robinson, Durham Center; Doug Fuller, Durham Center

Introductions: Chairperson Ann McKown welcomed everyone and called the meeting to order. Members and guests introduced themselves.

Minutes: November 18, 2009 minutes were presented for approval. Minutes approved without corrections.

The Durham Center: Judge McKown introduced Rob Robinson from the Durham Center. Rob spoke on "Accessing Services in Durham County". Rob began by presenting an overview of The Durham Center (TDC) and its mission. He stated TDC's main responsibilities were accessing services for clients, monitoring services, quality management, and making certain there was an effective service system in place for Durham County. He announced that in the past year, the Durham Center had about 10,000 individuals from Durham County enrolled in services, those services included substance abuse services, mental health services, and developmental disabilities services. Rob presented an overview on how to access those services, he explained that TDC provided screening and triage services, which was the portal of entry into the system open from 8 AM to 6:30 PM. Calls received after 6:30 PM were transferred to Durham Center Access, which was open 24/7. He explained that a clinician screened the individual over the phone, determined the need for and level of services, and directed the individual to a provider. Rob mentioned that the crisis facility was located on the campus of Durham Regional Hospital and created in partnership between Durham County Government, Duke University, and The Durham Center. He added funding for the facility came from Duke University, Durham County Government, NC State, and Medicaid. The facility was equipped with 16 beds and 11 crisis stabilization chairs. Rob explained the center was not a long-term facility and the focus was to get people stabilized and move them out of the system; however, those in a crisis could stay up to 23 hours and/or up to 15 days for detoxification. He added the facility was medically monitored and a physician was either on site or on call. Rob mentioned a psychiatric walk-in clinic for individuals who needed immediate psychiatric services and the Mobile Crisis Team, which operated 24/7. Rob announced that the Assessment Team was a new service under the Durham Center and operated by CJRC, available for indigent adults without insurance. Discussing other points of entry, he mentioned all court-involved individuals would go through TASC for an evaluation. Rob added there was also an assessor located at Social Services who worked with Work First, Child Protective Services, and Food Stamp clients, assessing them for substance abuse and mental health services. Additionally, CJRC

provided mental health services in the Detention Center and at the Youth Home providing screenings, assessments, and brief counseling for kids who had psychiatric issues. A mental health liaison is located at the Department of Juvenile Justice and the Department of Social Services who works with families and court staff to help connect children to services. CJRC provided court ordered substance abuse screenings and forensic evaluations for adult offenders, as well as psychological assessments for adults and juveniles. Following the presentation, board members held an opened discussion about services and past concerns regarding CJRC clients not receiving mental health services. Dianne Smith stated that those issues were resolved by TDC; however, the problem was not in setting up the appointment for the client, the trouble was with clients keeping the appointment. The board raised the question of having a mental health person on staff at CJRC and asked if that would be beneficial or was Durham Access filling that need for CJRC clients. Robin Heath indicated there had been times when clients were in crisis and CJRC was not always clear about the best way to handle the situation. Robin stated another difficulty was the willingness and cooperation from the client. Judge McKown asked for clarification on what happened to dually diagnosed individuals. Rob answered the current system was not good at identifying dual diagnosis individuals and a provider in many cases was not paid unless the individual was placed in either mental health or substance abuse. Rob mentioned that there was only one service provider who operated a dual disorder program (IDT). The board discussed the increase in individuals within the criminal justice system and at CJRC who had both substance abuse and mental health disorders.

Gudrun mentioned that Ann Oshel had presented a report to the Crime Cabinet on the top 10 offenders from the five Durham Police Department districts and reviewed the offender's criminal justice and mental health involvement, which created a lot of interest and requested a follow-up study. Gudrun asked the board if they would be interested in getting involved. CJRC staff was already involved and she was asking for a couple of volunteers from the board to participate. Lao and Judge McKown expressed interest in working with the local LME. Lao requested what it would take to implement the BART model used at the homeless shelter at CJRC. Rob stated it was a possibility and he would talk more with Gudrun and Jo about it. Gudrun added that the collaboration between CJRC and TDC had greatly improved and commended the Durham Center for their openness and willing to provide services to CJRC clients.

CJPP: Gudrun presented the Application for Continuation of Implementation Funding. She announced that she had not had any communication with DOC regarding the application process and was moving forward based on prior year deadlines. She added the application used the same amount as last year, since there was no indication to do anything differently. She mentioned that the draft line budget attached to the application would most likely change, because CJRC was still working on the County budget. Gudrun stated the overall application was the same as last year, with slight adjustments to the objectives. She provided a synopsis of the application that included program goals and objectives, program capacity data and services, memoranda of understanding and contracts. Gudrun stated the requested amount was \$183,064.00 and asked the board to review the budget. The board briefly discussed the Chamber of Commerce contract. Lao requested a summary on the listed goals and objectives. Gudrun explained each goal and the objective to meet those goals, there was a discussion about the objectives. Ms. Reckhow suggested raising the objective on program completion from its current goal of 40% to 45%. Gudrun explained that this was a hard population to serve, with difficult issues that were complex, and often hard to work with, not to mention very time consuming. The board discussed the percentage increase and agreed to raise the objective to 44%. There was a motion to approve the application with the one adjustment to the percentage increase to the second objective under reduction in probation revocations from 40% to 44%.

CJRC Program Update: Gudrun offered a program update and mentioned that renovations to the building had started. She announced a contract for CDBG funding for Project Restore received approval by the City

Council and the next cycle would start March 1. The OETI (Offender Employment Training Initiative) grant had selected Durham as one of the project sites; CJRC would be hosting an Employment Specialist who would work with probation cases. She stated the County budget process was ongoing and CJRC had received instructions from the County Manager's Office to reduce its budget by 3% for now. Gudrun mentioned the Federal Second Chance Act and the grant application solicitation for State, Local and Tribal governments. CJRC considered applying for the grant, though it required a 100% match. CJRC submitted a proposal to Duke's Sanford School of Public Policy this year for Pretrial, but the proposal was not selected. Jo reported on substance abuse treatment services and announced the new Discovery Group at CJRC. She briefly explained the goal of the group and its purpose, mentioning the high caseloads case managers and substance abuse counselors carried, and the problem with capacity, in addition to the limited number of intake slots. She stated it was taking longer for a client to enter treatment, so implementing the Discovery Group would allow clients to be engaged in a group prior to their intake. There was brief discussion on higher level of care clients referred to Durham Center for services; Rob requested that CJRC, TASC and TDC work together on serving those with higher-level issues and requested Dianne Smith with TASC to email him with information so they could get started on looking at serving those clients. Jo finished her report by providing a summary on the numbers of CJRC participants in the Family Program and pointed out the monthly report for board members to review.

News and Announcements: Gudrun announced the 18th Annual Vigil against Violence on Feb. 25 at 7PM at the Shepherd's House United Methodist Church, 107 N. Driver St. She again asked for board members interested in collaborating with the LME around mental health and substance abuse issues. Ann McKown and Lao Rubert were both interested in participating.

Gudrun announced a NCREAP Conference on March 9 and indicated CJRC could pay for the registration if any board member would like to attend.

Next CJPP Advisory Board Meeting scheduled for Wednesday, May 19, 2010, 1:00 PM.

Meeting adjourned at 2:30 PM.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE PARTNERSHIP
ADVISORY BOARD MEETING
February 17, 2010

Board Members Present: Chairperson Ann McKown, Secretary Gayle Harris, Public Health; Carolyn Titus, Deputy County Manager; Nina Bullock, Member At Large; Tommy Perry, Probation Designee; Ellen Reckhow, Durham County Commissioner; Lao Rubert, Member At Large; Ricky Padgett, Office of the Sheriff Designee; Marcus Weeks, Member At Large; DeWarren Langley, Member At Large

Board Members Absent: Vice Chair Kenneth Titus; Ellen Holliman, Mental Health; David Saacks, District Attorney; John Fitzpatrick, Criminal Defense Attorney; Deborah Schwartz, Member At Large; Antoinette Hilliard, Public Defender's Office; Jim Bjurstrom, Police Department Designee; Marcia Owen, Victim Services

Staff: Gudrun Parmer, Jo Iverson, Robin Heath, Jonie Coss

Guests: Dianne Smith, TASC; Robert Robinson, Durham Center; Doug Fuller, Durham Center

Introductions: Chairperson Ann McKown welcomed everyone and called the meeting to order. Members and guests introduced themselves.

Minutes: November 18, 2009 minutes were presented for approval. Minutes approved without corrections.

The Durham Center: Judge McKown introduced Rob Robinson from the Durham Center. Rob spoke on "Accessing Services in Durham County". Rob began by presenting an overview of The Durham Center (TDC) and its mission. He stated TDC's main responsibilities were accessing services for clients, monitoring services, quality management, and making certain there was an effective service system in place for Durham County. He announced that in the past year, the Durham Center had about 10,000 individuals from Durham County enrolled in services, those services included substance abuse services, mental health services, and developmental disabilities services. Rob presented an overview on how to access those services, he explained that TDC provided screening and triage services, which was the portal of entry into the system open from 8 AM to 6:30 PM. Calls received after 6:30 PM were transferred to Durham Center Access, which was open 24/7. He explained that a clinician screened the individual over the phone, determined the need for and level of services, and directed the individual to a provider. Rob mentioned that the crisis facility was located on the campus of Durham Regional Hospital and created in partnership between Durham County Government, Duke University, and The Durham Center. He added funding for the facility came from Duke University, Durham County Government, NC State, and Medicaid. The facility was equipped with 16 beds and 11 crisis stabilization chairs. Rob explained the center was not a long-term facility and the focus was to get people stabilized and move them out of the system; however, those in a crisis could stay up to 23 hours and/or up to 15 days for detoxification. He added the facility was medically monitored and a physician was either on site or on call. Rob mentioned a psychiatric walk-in clinic for individuals who needed immediate psychiatric services and the Mobile Crisis Team, which operated 24/7. Rob announced that the Assessment Team was a new service under the Durham Center and operated by CJRC, available for indigent adults without insurance. Discussing other points of entry, he mentioned all court-involved individuals would go through TASC for an evaluation. Rob added there was also an assessor located at Social Services who worked with Work First, Child Protective Services, and Food Stamp clients, assessing them for substance abuse and mental health services. Additionally, CJRC

provided mental health services in the Detention Center and at the Youth Home providing screenings, assessments, and brief counseling for kids who had psychiatric issues. A mental health liaison is located at the Department of Juvenile Justice and the Department of Social Services who works with families and court staff to help connect children to services. CJRC provided court ordered substance abuse screenings and forensic evaluations for adult offenders, as well as psychological assessments for adults and juveniles. Following the presentation, board members held an opened discussion about services and past concerns regarding CJRC clients not receiving mental health services. Dianne Smith stated that those issues were resolved by TDC; however, the problem was not in setting up the appointment for the client, the trouble was with clients keeping the appointment. The board raised the question of having a mental health person on staff at CJRC and asked if that would be beneficial or was Durham Access filling that need for CJRC clients. Robin Heath indicated there had been times when clients were in crisis and CJRC was not always clear about the best way to handle the situation. Robin stated another difficulty was the willingness and cooperation from the client. Judge McKown asked for clarification on what happened to dually diagnosed individuals. Rob answered the current system was not good at identifying dual diagnosis individuals and a provider in many cases was not paid unless the individual was placed in either mental health or substance abuse. Rob mentioned that there was only one service provider who operated a dual disorder program (IDT). The board discussed the increase in individuals within the criminal justice system and at CJRC who had both substance abuse and mental health disorders.

Gudrun mentioned that Ann Oshel had presented a report to the Crime Cabinet on the top 10 offenders from the five Durham Police Department districts and reviewed the offender's criminal justice and mental health involvement, which created a lot of interest and requested a follow-up study. Gudrun asked the board if they would be interested in getting involved. CJRC staff was already involved and she was asking for a couple of volunteers from the board to participate. Lao and Judge McKown expressed interest in working with the local LME. Lao requested what it would take to implement the BART model used at the homeless shelter at CJRC. Rob stated it was a possibility and he would talk more with Gudrun and Jo about it. Gudrun added that the collaboration between CJRC and TDC had greatly improved and commended the Durham Center for their openness and willing to provide services to CJRC clients.

CJPP: Gudrun presented the Application for Continuation of Implementation Funding. She announced that she had not had any communication with DOC regarding the application process and was moving forward based on prior year deadlines. She added the application used the same amount as last year, since there was no indication to do anything differently. She mentioned that the draft line budget attached to the application would most likely change, because CJRC was still working on the County budget. Gudrun stated the overall application was the same as last year, with slight adjustments to the objectives. She provided a synopsis of the application that included program goals and objectives, program capacity data and services, memoranda of understanding and contracts. Gudrun stated the requested amount was \$183,064.00 and asked the board to review the budget. The board briefly discussed the Chamber of Commerce contract. Lao requested a summary on the listed goals and objectives. Gudrun explained each goal and the objective to meet those goals, there was a discussion about the objectives. Ms. Reckhow suggested raising the objective on program completion from its current goal of 40% to 45%. Gudrun explained that this was a hard population to serve, with difficult issues that were complex, and often hard to work with, not to mention very time consuming. The board discussed the percentage increase and agreed to raise the objective to 44%. There was a motion to approve the application with the one adjustment to the percentage increase to the second objective under reduction in probation revocations from 40% to 44%.

CJRC Program Update: Gudrun offered a program update and mentioned that renovations to the building had started. She announced a contract for CDBG funding for Project Restore received approval by the City

Council and the next cycle would start March 1. The OETI (Offender Employment Training Initiative) grant had selected Durham as one of the project sites; CJRC would be hosting an Employment Specialist who would work with probation cases. She stated the County budget process was ongoing and CJRC had received instructions from the County Manager's Office to reduce its budget by 3% for now. Gudrun mentioned the Federal Second Chance Act and the grant application solicitation for State, Local and Tribal governments. CJRC considered applying for the grant, though it required a 100% match. CJRC submitted a proposal to Duke's Sanford School of Public Policy this year for Pretrial, but the proposal was not selected. Jo reported on substance abuse treatment services and announced the new Discovery Group at CJRC. She briefly explained the goal of the group and its purpose, mentioning the high caseloads case managers and substance abuse counselors carried, and the problem with capacity, in addition to the limited number of intake slots. She stated it was taking longer for a client to enter treatment, so implementing the Discovery Group would allow clients to be engaged in a group prior to their intake. There was brief discussion on higher level of care clients referred to Durham Center for services; Rob requested that CJRC, TASC and TDC work together on serving those with higher-level issues and requested Dianne Smith with TASC to email him with information so they could get started on looking at serving those clients. Jo finished her report by providing a summary on the numbers of CJRC participants in the Family Program and pointed out the monthly report for board members to review.

News and Announcements: Gudrun announced the 18th Annual Vigil against Violence on Feb. 25 at 7PM at the Shepherd's House United Methodist Church, 107 N. Driver St. She again asked for board members interested in collaborating with the LME around mental health and substance abuse issues. Ann McKown and Lao Rubert were both interested in participating.

Gudrun announced a NCREAP Conference on March 9 and indicated CJRC could pay for the registration if any board member would like to attend.

Next CJPP Advisory Board Meeting scheduled for Wednesday, May 19, 2010, 1:00 PM.

Meeting adjourned at 2:30 PM.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE PARTNERSHIP
ADVISORY BOARD MEETING
February 17, 2010

Board Members Present: Chairperson Ann McKown, Secretary Gayle Harris, Public Health; Carolyn Titus, Deputy County Manager; Nina Bullock, Member At Large; Tommy Perry, Probation Designee; Ellen Reckhow, Durham County Commissioner; Lao Rubert, Member At Large; Ricky Padgett, Office of the Sheriff Designee; Marcus Weeks, Member At Large; DeWarren Langley, Member At Large

Board Members Absent: Vice Chair Kenneth Titus; Ellen Holliman, Mental Health; David Saacks, District Attorney; John Fitzpatrick, Criminal Defense Attorney; Deborah Schwartz, Member At Large; Antoinette Hilliard, Public Defender's Office; Jim Bjurstrom, Police Department Designee; Marcia Owen, Victim Services

Staff: Gudrun Parmer, Jo Iverson, Robin Heath, Jonie Coss

Guests: Dianne Smith, TASC; Robert Robinson, Durham Center; Doug Fuller, Durham Center

Introductions: Chairperson Ann McKown welcomed everyone and called the meeting to order. Members and guests introduced themselves.

Minutes: November 18, 2009 minutes were presented for approval. Minutes approved without corrections.

The Durham Center: Judge McKown introduced Rob Robinson from the Durham Center. Rob spoke on "Accessing Services in Durham County". Rob began by presenting an overview of The Durham Center (TDC) and its mission. He stated TDC's main responsibilities were accessing services for clients, monitoring services, quality management, and making certain there was an effective service system in place for Durham County. He announced that in the past year, the Durham Center had about 10,000 individuals from Durham County enrolled in services, those services included substance abuse services, mental health services, and developmental disabilities services. Rob presented an overview on how to access those services, he explained that TDC provided screening and triage services, which was the portal of entry into the system open from 8 AM to 6:30 PM. Calls received after 6:30 PM were transferred to Durham Center Access, which was open 24/7. He explained that a clinician screened the individual over the phone, determined the need for and level of services, and directed the individual to a provider. Rob mentioned that the crisis facility was located on the campus of Durham Regional Hospital and created in partnership between Durham County Government, Duke University, and The Durham Center. He added funding for the facility came from Duke University, Durham County Government, NC State, and Medicaid. The facility was equipped with 16 beds and 11 crisis stabilization chairs. Rob explained the center was not a long-term facility and the focus was to get people stabilized and move them out of the system; however, those in a crisis could stay up to 23 hours and/or up to 15 days for detoxification. He added the facility was medically monitored and a physician was either on site or on call. Rob mentioned a psychiatric walk-in clinic for individuals who needed immediate psychiatric services and the Mobile Crisis Team, which operated 24/7. Rob announced that the Assessment Team was a new service under the Durham Center and operated by CJRC, available for indigent adults without insurance. Discussing other points of entry, he mentioned all court-involved individuals would go through TASC for an evaluation. Rob added there was also an assessor located at Social Services who worked with Work First, Child Protective Services, and Food Stamp clients, assessing them for substance abuse and mental health services. Additionally, CJRC

provided mental health services in the Detention Center and at the Youth Home providing screenings, assessments, and brief counseling for kids who had psychiatric issues. A mental health liaison is located at the Department of Juvenile Justice and the Department of Social Services who works with families and court staff to help connect children to services. CJRC provided court ordered substance abuse screenings and forensic evaluations for adult offenders, as well as psychological assessments for adults and juveniles. Following the presentation, board members held an opened discussion about services and past concerns regarding CJRC clients not receiving mental health services. Dianne Smith stated that those issues were resolved by TDC; however, the problem was not in setting up the appointment for the client, the trouble was with clients keeping the appointment. The board raised the question of having a mental health person on staff at CJRC and asked if that would be beneficial or was Durham Access filling that need for CJRC clients. Robin Heath indicated there had been times when clients were in crisis and CJRC was not always clear about the best way to handle the situation. Robin stated another difficulty was the willingness and cooperation from the client. Judge McKown asked for clarification on what happened to dually diagnosed individuals. Rob answered the current system was not good at identifying dual diagnosis individuals and a provider in many cases was not paid unless the individual was placed in either mental health or substance abuse. Rob mentioned that there was only one service provider who operated a dual disorder program (IDT). The board discussed the increase in individuals within the criminal justice system and at CJRC who had both substance abuse and mental health disorders.

Gudrun mentioned that Ann Oshel had presented a report to the Crime Cabinet on the top 10 offenders from the five Durham Police Department districts and reviewed the offender's criminal justice and mental health involvement, which created a lot of interest and requested a follow-up study. Gudrun asked the board if they would be interested in getting involved. CJRC staff was already involved and she was asking for a couple of volunteers from the board to participate. Lao and Judge McKown expressed interest in working with the local LME. Lao requested what it would take to implement the BART model used at the homeless shelter at CJRC. Rob stated it was a possibility and he would talk more with Gudrun and Jo about it. Gudrun added that the collaboration between CJRC and TDC had greatly improved and commended the Durham Center for their openness and willing to provide services to CJRC clients.

CJPP: Gudrun presented the Application for Continuation of Implementation Funding. She announced that she had not had any communication with DOC regarding the application process and was moving forward based on prior year deadlines. She added the application used the same amount as last year, since there was no indication to do anything differently. She mentioned that the draft line budget attached to the application would most likely change, because CJRC was still working on the County budget. Gudrun stated the overall application was the same as last year, with slight adjustments to the objectives. She provided a synopsis of the application that included program goals and objectives, program capacity data and services, memoranda of understanding and contracts. Gudrun stated the requested amount was \$183,064.00 and asked the board to review the budget. The board briefly discussed the Chamber of Commerce contract. Lao requested a summary on the listed goals and objectives. Gudrun explained each goal and the objective to meet those goals, there was a discussion about the objectives. Ms. Reckhow suggested raising the objective on program completion from its current goal of 40% to 45%. Gudrun explained that this was a hard population to serve, with difficult issues that were complex, and often hard to work with, not to mention very time consuming. The board discussed the percentage increase and agreed to raise the objective to 44%. There was a motion to approve the application with the one adjustment to the percentage increase to the second objective under reduction in probation revocations from 40% to 44%.

CJRC Program Update: Gudrun offered a program update and mentioned that renovations to the building had started. She announced a contract for CDBG funding for Project Restore received approval by the City

Council and the next cycle would start March 1. The OETI (Offender Employment Training Initiative) grant had selected Durham as one of the project sites; CJRC would be hosting an Employment Specialist who would work with probation cases. She stated the County budget process was ongoing and CJRC had received instructions from the County Manager's Office to reduce its budget by 3% for now. Gudrun mentioned the Federal Second Chance Act and the grant application solicitation for State, Local and Tribal governments. CJRC considered applying for the grant, though it required a 100% match. CJRC submitted a proposal to Duke's Sanford School of Public Policy this year for Pretrial, but the proposal was not selected. Jo reported on substance abuse treatment services and announced the new Discovery Group at CJRC. She briefly explained the goal of the group and its purpose, mentioning the high caseloads case managers and substance abuse counselors carried, and the problem with capacity, in addition to the limited number of intake slots. She stated it was taking longer for a client to enter treatment, so implementing the Discovery Group would allow clients to be engaged in a group prior to their intake. There was brief discussion on higher level of care clients referred to Durham Center for services; Rob requested that CJRC, TASC and TDC work together on serving those with higher-level issues and requested Dianne Smith with TASC to email him with information so they could get started on looking at serving those clients. Jo finished her report by providing a summary on the numbers of CJRC participants in the Family Program and pointed out the monthly report for board members to review.

News and Announcements: Gudrun announced the 18th Annual Vigil against Violence on Feb. 25 at 7PM at the Shepherd's House United Methodist Church, 107 N. Driver St. She again asked for board members interested in collaborating with the LME around mental health and substance abuse issues. Ann McKown and Lao Rubert were both interested in participating.

Gudrun announced a NCREAP Conference on March 9 and indicated CJRC could pay for the registration if any board member would like to attend.

Next CJPP Advisory Board Meeting scheduled for Wednesday, May 19, 2010, 1:00 PM.

Meeting adjourned at 2:30 PM.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE PARTNERSHIP
ADVISORY BOARD MEETING
February 17, 2010

Board Members Present: Chairperson Ann McKown, Secretary Gayle Harris, Public Health; Carolyn Titus, Deputy County Manager; Nina Bullock, Member At Large; Tommy Perry, Probation Designee; Ellen Reckhow, Durham County Commissioner; Lao Rubert, Member At Large; Ricky Padgett, Office of the Sheriff Designee; Marcus Weeks, Member At Large; DeWarren Langley, Member At Large

Board Members Absent: Vice Chair Kenneth Titus; Ellen Holliman, Mental Health; David Saacks, District Attorney; John Fitzpatrick, Criminal Defense Attorney; Deborah Schwartz, Member At Large; Antoinette Hilliard, Public Defender's Office; Jim Bjurstrom, Police Department Designee; Marcia Owen, Victim Services

Staff: Gudrun Parmer, Jo Iverson, Robin Heath, Jonie Coss

Guests: Dianne Smith, TASC; Robert Robinson, Durham Center; Doug Fuller, Durham Center

Introductions: Chairperson Ann McKown welcomed everyone and called the meeting to order. Members and guests introduced themselves.

Minutes: November 18, 2009 minutes were presented for approval. Minutes approved without corrections.

The Durham Center: Judge McKown introduced Rob Robinson from the Durham Center. Rob spoke on "Accessing Services in Durham County". Rob began by presenting an overview of The Durham Center (TDC) and its mission. He stated TDC's main responsibilities were accessing services for clients, monitoring services, quality management, and making certain there was an effective service system in place for Durham County. He announced that in the past year, the Durham Center had about 10,000 individuals from Durham County enrolled in services, those services included substance abuse services, mental health services, and developmental disabilities services. Rob presented an overview on how to access those services, he explained that TDC provided screening and triage services, which was the portal of entry into the system open from 8 AM to 6:30 PM. Calls received after 6:30 PM were transferred to Durham Center Access, which was open 24/7. He explained that a clinician screened the individual over the phone, determined the need for and level of services, and directed the individual to a provider. Rob mentioned that the crisis facility was located on the campus of Durham Regional Hospital and created in partnership between Durham County Government, Duke University, and The Durham Center. He added funding for the facility came from Duke University, Durham County Government, NC State, and Medicaid. The facility was equipped with 16 beds and 11 crisis stabilization chairs. Rob explained the center was not a long-term facility and the focus was to get people stabilized and move them out of the system; however, those in a crisis could stay up to 23 hours and/or up to 15 days for detoxification. He added the facility was medically monitored and a physician was either on site or on call. Rob mentioned a psychiatric walk-in clinic for individuals who needed immediate psychiatric services and the Mobile Crisis Team, which operated 24/7. Rob announced that the Assessment Team was a new service under the Durham Center and operated by CJRC, available for indigent adults without insurance. Discussing other points of entry, he mentioned all court-involved individuals would go through TASC for an evaluation. Rob added there was also an assessor located at Social Services who worked with Work First, Child Protective Services, and Food Stamp clients, assessing them for substance abuse and mental health services. Additionally, CJRC

provided mental health services in the Detention Center and at the Youth Home providing screenings, assessments, and brief counseling for kids who had psychiatric issues. A mental health liaison is located at the Department of Juvenile Justice and the Department of Social Services who works with families and court staff to help connect children to services. CJRC provided court ordered substance abuse screenings and forensic evaluations for adult offenders, as well as psychological assessments for adults and juveniles. Following the presentation, board members held an opened discussion about services and past concerns regarding CJRC clients not receiving mental health services. Dianne Smith stated that those issues were resolved by TDC; however, the problem was not in setting up the appointment for the client, the trouble was with clients keeping the appointment. The board raised the question of having a mental health person on staff at CJRC and asked if that would be beneficial or was Durham Access filling that need for CJRC clients. Robin Heath indicated there had been times when clients were in crisis and CJRC was not always clear about the best way to handle the situation. Robin stated another difficulty was the willingness and cooperation from the client. Judge McKown asked for clarification on what happened to dually diagnosed individuals. Rob answered the current system was not good at identifying dual diagnosis individuals and a provider in many cases was not paid unless the individual was placed in either mental health or substance abuse. Rob mentioned that there was only one service provider who operated a dual disorder program (IDT). The board discussed the increase in individuals within the criminal justice system and at CJRC who had both substance abuse and mental health disorders.

Gudrun mentioned that Ann Oshel had presented a report to the Crime Cabinet on the top 10 offenders from the five Durham Police Department districts and reviewed the offender's criminal justice and mental health involvement, which created a lot of interest and requested a follow-up study. Gudrun asked the board if they would be interested in getting involved. CJRC staff was already involved and she was asking for a couple of volunteers from the board to participate. Lao and Judge McKown expressed interest in working with the local LME. Lao requested what it would take to implement the BART model used at the homeless shelter at CJRC. Rob stated it was a possibility and he would talk more with Gudrun and Jo about it. Gudrun added that the collaboration between CJRC and TDC had greatly improved and commended the Durham Center for their openness and willing to provide services to CJRC clients.

CJPP: Gudrun presented the Application for Continuation of Implementation Funding. She announced that she had not had any communication with DOC regarding the application process and was moving forward based on prior year deadlines. She added the application used the same amount as last year, since there was no indication to do anything differently. She mentioned that the draft line budget attached to the application would most likely change, because CJRC was still working on the County budget. Gudrun stated the overall application was the same as last year, with slight adjustments to the objectives. She provided a synopsis of the application that included program goals and objectives, program capacity data and services, memoranda of understanding and contracts. Gudrun stated the requested amount was \$183,064.00 and asked the board to review the budget. The board briefly discussed the Chamber of Commerce contract. Lao requested a summary on the listed goals and objectives. Gudrun explained each goal and the objective to meet those goals, there was a discussion about the objectives. Ms. Reckhow suggested raising the objective on program completion from its current goal of 40% to 45%. Gudrun explained that this was a hard population to serve, with difficult issues that were complex, and often hard to work with, not to mention very time consuming. The board discussed the percentage increase and agreed to raise the objective to 44%. There was a motion to approve the application with the one adjustment to the percentage increase to the second objective under reduction in probation revocations from 40% to 44%.

CJRC Program Update: Gudrun offered a program update and mentioned that renovations to the building had started. She announced a contract for CDBG funding for Project Restore received approval by the City

Council and the next cycle would start March 1. The OETI (Offender Employment Training Initiative) grant had selected Durham as one of the project sites; CJRC would be hosting an Employment Specialist who would work with probation cases. She stated the County budget process was ongoing and CJRC had received instructions from the County Manager's Office to reduce its budget by 3% for now. Gudrun mentioned the Federal Second Chance Act and the grant application solicitation for State, Local and Tribal governments. CJRC considered applying for the grant, though it required a 100% match. CJRC submitted a proposal to Duke's Sanford School of Public Policy this year for Pretrial, but the proposal was not selected. Jo reported on substance abuse treatment services and announced the new Discovery Group at CJRC. She briefly explained the goal of the group and its purpose, mentioning the high caseloads case managers and substance abuse counselors carried, and the problem with capacity, in addition to the limited number of intake slots. She stated it was taking longer for a client to enter treatment, so implementing the Discovery Group would allow clients to be engaged in a group prior to their intake. There was brief discussion on higher level of care clients referred to Durham Center for services; Rob requested that CJRC, TASC and TDC work together on serving those with higher-level issues and requested Dianne Smith with TASC to email him with information so they could get started on looking at serving those clients. Jo finished her report by providing a summary on the numbers of CJRC participants in the Family Program and pointed out the monthly report for board members to review.

News and Announcements: Gudrun announced the 18th Annual Vigil against Violence on Feb. 25 at 7PM at the Shepherd's House United Methodist Church, 107 N. Driver St. She again asked for board members interested in collaborating with the LME around mental health and substance abuse issues. Ann McKown and Lao Rubert were both interested in participating.

Gudrun announced a NCREAP Conference on March 9 and indicated CJRC could pay for the registration if any board member would like to attend.

Next CJPP Advisory Board Meeting scheduled for Wednesday, May 19, 2010, 1:00 PM.

Meeting adjourned at 2:30 PM.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE PARTNERSHIP
ADVISORY BOARD MEETING
February 17, 2010

Board Members Present: Chairperson Ann McKown, Secretary Gayle Harris, Public Health; Carolyn Titus, Deputy County Manager; Nina Bullock, Member At Large; Tommy Perry, Probation Designee; Ellen Reckhow, Durham County Commissioner; Lao Rubert, Member At Large; Ricky Padgett, Office of the Sheriff Designee; Marcus Weeks, Member At Large; DeWarren Langley, Member At Large

Board Members Absent: Vice Chair Kenneth Titus; Ellen Holliman, Mental Health; David Saacks, District Attorney; John Fitzpatrick, Criminal Defense Attorney; Deborah Schwartz, Member At Large; Antoinette Hilliard, Public Defender's Office; Jim Bjurstrom, Police Department Designee; Marcia Owen, Victim Services

Staff: Gudrun Parmer, Jo Iverson, Robin Heath, Jonie Coss

Guests: Dianne Smith, TASC; Robert Robinson, Durham Center; Doug Fuller, Durham Center

Introductions: Chairperson Ann McKown welcomed everyone and called the meeting to order. Members and guests introduced themselves.

Minutes: November 18, 2009 minutes were presented for approval. Minutes approved without corrections.

The Durham Center: Judge McKown introduced Rob Robinson from the Durham Center. Rob spoke on "Accessing Services in Durham County". Rob began by presenting an overview of The Durham Center (TDC) and its mission. He stated TDC's main responsibilities were accessing services for clients, monitoring services, quality management, and making certain there was an effective service system in place for Durham County. He announced that in the past year, the Durham Center had about 10,000 individuals from Durham County enrolled in services, those services included substance abuse services, mental health services, and developmental disabilities services. Rob presented an overview on how to access those services, he explained that TDC provided screening and triage services, which was the portal of entry into the system open from 8 AM to 6:30 PM. Calls received after 6:30 PM were transferred to Durham Center Access, which was open 24/7. He explained that a clinician screened the individual over the phone, determined the need for and level of services, and directed the individual to a provider. Rob mentioned that the crisis facility was located on the campus of Durham Regional Hospital and created in partnership between Durham County Government, Duke University, and The Durham Center. He added funding for the facility came from Duke University, Durham County Government, NC State, and Medicaid. The facility was equipped with 16 beds and 11 crisis stabilization chairs. Rob explained the center was not a long-term facility and the focus was to get people stabilized and move them out of the system; however, those in a crisis could stay up to 23 hours and/or up to 15 days for detoxification. He added the facility was medically monitored and a physician was either on site or on call. Rob mentioned a psychiatric walk-in clinic for individuals who needed immediate psychiatric services and the Mobile Crisis Team, which operated 24/7. Rob announced that the Assessment Team was a new service under the Durham Center and operated by CJRC, available for indigent adults without insurance. Discussing other points of entry, he mentioned all court-involved individuals would go through TASC for an evaluation. Rob added there was also an assessor located at Social Services who worked with Work First, Child Protective Services, and Food Stamp clients, assessing them for substance abuse and mental health services. Additionally, CJRC

provided mental health services in the Detention Center and at the Youth Home providing screenings, assessments, and brief counseling for kids who had psychiatric issues. A mental health liaison is located at the Department of Juvenile Justice and the Department of Social Services who works with families and court staff to help connect children to services. CJRC provided court ordered substance abuse screenings and forensic evaluations for adult offenders, as well as psychological assessments for adults and juveniles. Following the presentation, board members held an opened discussion about services and past concerns regarding CJRC clients not receiving mental health services. Dianne Smith stated that those issues were resolved by TDC; however, the problem was not in setting up the appointment for the client, the trouble was with clients keeping the appointment. The board raised the question of having a mental health person on staff at CJRC and asked if that would be beneficial or was Durham Access filling that need for CJRC clients. Robin Heath indicated there had been times when clients were in crisis and CJRC was not always clear about the best way to handle the situation. Robin stated another difficulty was the willingness and cooperation from the client. Judge McKown asked for clarification on what happened to dually diagnosed individuals. Rob answered the current system was not good at identifying dual diagnosis individuals and a provider in many cases was not paid unless the individual was placed in either mental health or substance abuse. Rob mentioned that there was only one service provider who operated a dual disorder program (IDT). The board discussed the increase in individuals within the criminal justice system and at CJRC who had both substance abuse and mental health disorders.

Gudrun mentioned that Ann Oshel had presented a report to the Crime Cabinet on the top 10 offenders from the five Durham Police Department districts and reviewed the offender's criminal justice and mental health involvement, which created a lot of interest and requested a follow-up study. Gudrun asked the board if they would be interested in getting involved. CJRC staff was already involved and she was asking for a couple of volunteers from the board to participate. Lao and Judge McKown expressed interest in working with the local LME. Lao requested what it would take to implement the BART model used at the homeless shelter at CJRC. Rob stated it was a possibility and he would talk more with Gudrun and Jo about it. Gudrun added that the collaboration between CJRC and TDC had greatly improved and commended the Durham Center for their openness and willing to provide services to CJRC clients.

CJPP: Gudrun presented the Application for Continuation of Implementation Funding. She announced that she had not had any communication with DOC regarding the application process and was moving forward based on prior year deadlines. She added the application used the same amount as last year, since there was no indication to do anything differently. She mentioned that the draft line budget attached to the application would most likely change, because CJRC was still working on the County budget. Gudrun stated the overall application was the same as last year, with slight adjustments to the objectives. She provided a synopsis of the application that included program goals and objectives, program capacity data and services, memoranda of understanding and contracts. Gudrun stated the requested amount was \$183,064.00 and asked the board to review the budget. The board briefly discussed the Chamber of Commerce contract. Lao requested a summary on the listed goals and objectives. Gudrun explained each goal and the objective to meet those goals, there was a discussion about the objectives. Ms. Reckhow suggested raising the objective on program completion from its current goal of 40% to 45%. Gudrun explained that this was a hard population to serve, with difficult issues that were complex, and often hard to work with, not to mention very time consuming. The board discussed the percentage increase and agreed to raise the objective to 44%. There was a motion to approve the application with the one adjustment to the percentage increase to the second objective under reduction in probation revocations from 40% to 44%.

CJRC Program Update: Gudrun offered a program update and mentioned that renovations to the building had started. She announced a contract for CDBG funding for Project Restore received approval by the City

Council and the next cycle would start March 1. The OETI (Offender Employment Training Initiative) grant had selected Durham as one of the project sites; CJRC would be hosting an Employment Specialist who would work with probation cases. She stated the County budget process was ongoing and CJRC had received instructions from the County Manager's Office to reduce its budget by 3% for now. Gudrun mentioned the Federal Second Chance Act and the grant application solicitation for State, Local and Tribal governments. CJRC considered applying for the grant, though it required a 100% match. CJRC submitted a proposal to Duke's Sanford School of Public Policy this year for Pretrial, but the proposal was not selected. Jo reported on substance abuse treatment services and announced the new Discovery Group at CJRC. She briefly explained the goal of the group and its purpose, mentioning the high caseloads case managers and substance abuse counselors carried, and the problem with capacity, in addition to the limited number of intake slots. She stated it was taking longer for a client to enter treatment, so implementing the Discovery Group would allow clients to be engaged in a group prior to their intake. There was brief discussion on higher level of care clients referred to Durham Center for services; Rob requested that CJRC, TASC and TDC work together on serving those with higher-level issues and requested Dianne Smith with TASC to email him with information so they could get started on looking at serving those clients. Jo finished her report by providing a summary on the numbers of CJRC participants in the Family Program and pointed out the monthly report for board members to review.

News and Announcements: Gudrun announced the 18th Annual Vigil against Violence on Feb. 25 at 7PM at the Shepherd's House United Methodist Church, 107 N. Driver St. She again asked for board members interested in collaborating with the LME around mental health and substance abuse issues. Ann McKown and Lao Rubert were both interested in participating.

Gudrun announced a NCREAP Conference on March 9 and indicated CJRC could pay for the registration if any board member would like to attend.

Next CJPP Advisory Board Meeting scheduled for Wednesday, May 19, 2010, 1:00 PM.

Meeting adjourned at 2:30 PM.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE PARTNERSHIP
ADVISORY BOARD MEETING
February 17, 2010

Board Members Present: Chairperson Ann McKown, Secretary Gayle Harris, Public Health; Carolyn Titus, Deputy County Manager; Nina Bullock, Member At Large; Tommy Perry, Probation Designee; Ellen Reckhow, Durham County Commissioner; Lao Rubert, Member At Large; Ricky Padgett, Office of the Sheriff Designee; Marcus Weeks, Member At Large; DeWarren Langley, Member At Large

Board Members Absent: Vice Chair Kenneth Titus; Ellen Holliman, Mental Health; David Saacks, District Attorney; John Fitzpatrick, Criminal Defense Attorney; Deborah Schwartz, Member At Large; Antoinette Hilliard, Public Defender's Office; Jim Bjurstrom, Police Department Designee; Marcia Owen, Victim Services

Staff: Gudrun Parmer, Jo Iverson, Robin Heath, Jonie Coss

Guests: Dianne Smith, TASC; Robert Robinson, Durham Center; Doug Fuller, Durham Center

Introductions: Chairperson Ann McKown welcomed everyone and called the meeting to order. Members and guests introduced themselves.

Minutes: November 18, 2009 minutes were presented for approval. Minutes approved without corrections.

The Durham Center: Judge McKown introduced Rob Robinson from the Durham Center. Rob spoke on "Accessing Services in Durham County". Rob began by presenting an overview of The Durham Center (TDC) and its mission. He stated TDC's main responsibilities were accessing services for clients, monitoring services, quality management, and making certain there was an effective service system in place for Durham County. He announced that in the past year, the Durham Center had about 10,000 individuals from Durham County enrolled in services, those services included substance abuse services, mental health services, and developmental disabilities services. Rob presented an overview on how to access those services, he explained that TDC provided screening and triage services, which was the portal of entry into the system open from 8 AM to 6:30 PM. Calls received after 6:30 PM were transferred to Durham Center Access, which was open 24/7. He explained that a clinician screened the individual over the phone, determined the need for and level of services, and directed the individual to a provider. Rob mentioned that the crisis facility was located on the campus of Durham Regional Hospital and created in partnership between Durham County Government, Duke University, and The Durham Center. He added funding for the facility came from Duke University, Durham County Government, NC State, and Medicaid. The facility was equipped with 16 beds and 11 crisis stabilization chairs. Rob explained the center was not a long-term facility and the focus was to get people stabilized and move them out of the system; however, those in a crisis could stay up to 23 hours and/or up to 15 days for detoxification. He added the facility was medically monitored and a physician was either on site or on call. Rob mentioned a psychiatric walk-in clinic for individuals who needed immediate psychiatric services and the Mobile Crisis Team, which operated 24/7. Rob announced that the Assessment Team was a new service under the Durham Center and operated by CJRC, available for indigent adults without insurance. Discussing other points of entry, he mentioned all court-involved individuals would go through TASC for an evaluation. Rob added there was also an assessor located at Social Services who worked with Work First, Child Protective Services, and Food Stamp clients, assessing them for substance abuse and mental health services. Additionally, CJRC

provided mental health services in the Detention Center and at the Youth Home providing screenings, assessments, and brief counseling for kids who had psychiatric issues. A mental health liaison is located at the Department of Juvenile Justice and the Department of Social Services who works with families and court staff to help connect children to services. CJRC provided court ordered substance abuse screenings and forensic evaluations for adult offenders, as well as psychological assessments for adults and juveniles. Following the presentation, board members held an opened discussion about services and past concerns regarding CJRC clients not receiving mental health services. Dianne Smith stated that those issues were resolved by TDC; however, the problem was not in setting up the appointment for the client, the trouble was with clients keeping the appointment. The board raised the question of having a mental health person on staff at CJRC and asked if that would be beneficial or was Durham Access filling that need for CJRC clients. Robin Heath indicated there had been times when clients were in crisis and CJRC was not always clear about the best way to handle the situation. Robin stated another difficulty was the willingness and cooperation from the client. Judge McKown asked for clarification on what happened to dually diagnosed individuals. Rob answered the current system was not good at identifying dual diagnosis individuals and a provider in many cases was not paid unless the individual was placed in either mental health or substance abuse. Rob mentioned that there was only one service provider who operated a dual disorder program (IDT). The board discussed the increase in individuals within the criminal justice system and at CJRC who had both substance abuse and mental health disorders.

Gudrun mentioned that Ann Oshel had presented a report to the Crime Cabinet on the top 10 offenders from the five Durham Police Department districts and reviewed the offender's criminal justice and mental health involvement, which created a lot of interest and requested a follow-up study. Gudrun asked the board if they would be interested in getting involved. CJRC staff was already involved and she was asking for a couple of volunteers from the board to participate. Lao and Judge McKown expressed interest in working with the local LME. Lao requested what it would take to implement the BART model used at the homeless shelter at CJRC. Rob stated it was a possibility and he would talk more with Gudrun and Jo about it. Gudrun added that the collaboration between CJRC and TDC had greatly improved and commended the Durham Center for their openness and willing to provide services to CJRC clients.

CJPP: Gudrun presented the Application for Continuation of Implementation Funding. She announced that she had not had any communication with DOC regarding the application process and was moving forward based on prior year deadlines. She added the application used the same amount as last year, since there was no indication to do anything differently. She mentioned that the draft line budget attached to the application would most likely change, because CJRC was still working on the County budget. Gudrun stated the overall application was the same as last year, with slight adjustments to the objectives. She provided a synopsis of the application that included program goals and objectives, program capacity data and services, memoranda of understanding and contracts. Gudrun stated the requested amount was \$183,064.00 and asked the board to review the budget. The board briefly discussed the Chamber of Commerce contract. Lao requested a summary on the listed goals and objectives. Gudrun explained each goal and the objective to meet those goals, there was a discussion about the objectives. Ms. Reckhow suggested raising the objective on program completion from its current goal of 40% to 45%. Gudrun explained that this was a hard population to serve, with difficult issues that were complex, and often hard to work with, not to mention very time consuming. The board discussed the percentage increase and agreed to raise the objective to 44%. There was a motion to approve the application with the one adjustment to the percentage increase to the second objective under reduction in probation revocations from 40% to 44%.

CJRC Program Update: Gudrun offered a program update and mentioned that renovations to the building had started. She announced a contract for CDBG funding for Project Restore received approval by the City

Council and the next cycle would start March 1. The OETI (Offender Employment Training Initiative) grant had selected Durham as one of the project sites; CJRC would be hosting an Employment Specialist who would work with probation cases. She stated the County budget process was ongoing and CJRC had received instructions from the County Manager's Office to reduce its budget by 3% for now. Gudrun mentioned the Federal Second Chance Act and the grant application solicitation for State, Local and Tribal governments. CJRC considered applying for the grant, though it required a 100% match. CJRC submitted a proposal to Duke's Sanford School of Public Policy this year for Pretrial, but the proposal was not selected. Jo reported on substance abuse treatment services and announced the new Discovery Group at CJRC. She briefly explained the goal of the group and its purpose, mentioning the high caseloads case managers and substance abuse counselors carried, and the problem with capacity, in addition to the limited number of intake slots. She stated it was taking longer for a client to enter treatment, so implementing the Discovery Group would allow clients to be engaged in a group prior to their intake. There was brief discussion on higher level of care clients referred to Durham Center for services; Rob requested that CJRC, TASC and TDC work together on serving those with higher-level issues and requested Dianne Smith with TASC to email him with information so they could get started on looking at serving those clients. Jo finished her report by providing a summary on the numbers of CJRC participants in the Family Program and pointed out the monthly report for board members to review.

News and Announcements: Gudrun announced the 18th Annual Vigil against Violence on Feb. 25 at 7PM at the Shepherd's House United Methodist Church, 107 N. Driver St. She again asked for board members interested in collaborating with the LME around mental health and substance abuse issues. Ann McKown and Lao Rubert were both interested in participating.

Gudrun announced a NCREAP Conference on March 9 and indicated CJRC could pay for the registration if any board member would like to attend.

Next CJPP Advisory Board Meeting scheduled for Wednesday, May 19, 2010, 1:00 PM.

Meeting adjourned at 2:30 PM.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE PARTNERSHIP
ADVISORY BOARD MEETING
February 17, 2010

Board Members Present: Chairperson Ann McKown, Secretary Gayle Harris, Public Health; Carolyn Titus, Deputy County Manager; Nina Bullock, Member At Large; Tommy Perry, Probation Designee; Ellen Reckhow, Durham County Commissioner; Lao Rubert, Member At Large; Ricky Padgett, Office of the Sheriff Designee; Marcus Weeks, Member At Large; DeWarren Langley, Member At Large

Board Members Absent: Vice Chair Kenneth Titus; Ellen Holliman, Mental Health; David Saacks, District Attorney; John Fitzpatrick, Criminal Defense Attorney; Deborah Schwartz, Member At Large; Antoinette Hilliard, Public Defender's Office; Jim Bjurstrom, Police Department Designee; Marcia Owen, Victim Services

Staff: Gudrun Parmer, Jo Iverson, Robin Heath, Jonie Coss

Guests: Dianne Smith, TASC; Robert Robinson, Durham Center; Doug Fuller, Durham Center

Introductions: Chairperson Ann McKown welcomed everyone and called the meeting to order. Members and guests introduced themselves.

Minutes: November 18, 2009 minutes were presented for approval. Minutes approved without corrections.

The Durham Center: Judge McKown introduced Rob Robinson from the Durham Center. Rob spoke on "Accessing Services in Durham County". Rob began by presenting an overview of The Durham Center (TDC) and its mission. He stated TDC's main responsibilities were accessing services for clients, monitoring services, quality management, and making certain there was an effective service system in place for Durham County. He announced that in the past year, the Durham Center had about 10,000 individuals from Durham County enrolled in services, those services included substance abuse services, mental health services, and developmental disabilities services. Rob presented an overview on how to access those services, he explained that TDC provided screening and triage services, which was the portal of entry into the system open from 8 AM to 6:30 PM. Calls received after 6:30 PM were transferred to Durham Center Access, which was open 24/7. He explained that a clinician screened the individual over the phone, determined the need for and level of services, and directed the individual to a provider. Rob mentioned that the crisis facility was located on the campus of Durham Regional Hospital and created in partnership between Durham County Government, Duke University, and The Durham Center. He added funding for the facility came from Duke University, Durham County Government, NC State, and Medicaid. The facility was equipped with 16 beds and 11 crisis stabilization chairs. Rob explained the center was not a long-term facility and the focus was to get people stabilized and move them out of the system; however, those in a crisis could stay up to 23 hours and/or up to 15 days for detoxification. He added the facility was medically monitored and a physician was either on site or on call. Rob mentioned a psychiatric walk-in clinic for individuals who needed immediate psychiatric services and the Mobile Crisis Team, which operated 24/7. Rob announced that the Assessment Team was a new service under the Durham Center and operated by CJRC, available for indigent adults without insurance. Discussing other points of entry, he mentioned all court-involved individuals would go through TASC for an evaluation. Rob added there was also an assessor located at Social Services who worked with Work First, Child Protective Services, and Food Stamp clients, assessing them for substance abuse and mental health services. Additionally, CJRC

provided mental health services in the Detention Center and at the Youth Home providing screenings, assessments, and brief counseling for kids who had psychiatric issues. A mental health liaison is located at the Department of Juvenile Justice and the Department of Social Services who works with families and court staff to help connect children to services. CJRC provided court ordered substance abuse screenings and forensic evaluations for adult offenders, as well as psychological assessments for adults and juveniles. Following the presentation, board members held an opened discussion about services and past concerns regarding CJRC clients not receiving mental health services. Dianne Smith stated that those issues were resolved by TDC; however, the problem was not in setting up the appointment for the client, the trouble was with clients keeping the appointment. The board raised the question of having a mental health person on staff at CJRC and asked if that would be beneficial or was Durham Access filling that need for CJRC clients. Robin Heath indicated there had been times when clients were in crisis and CJRC was not always clear about the best way to handle the situation. Robin stated another difficulty was the willingness and cooperation from the client. Judge McKown asked for clarification on what happened to dually diagnosed individuals. Rob answered the current system was not good at identifying dual diagnosis individuals and a provider in many cases was not paid unless the individual was placed in either mental health or substance abuse. Rob mentioned that there was only one service provider who operated a dual disorder program (IDT). The board discussed the increase in individuals within the criminal justice system and at CJRC who had both substance abuse and mental health disorders.

Gudrun mentioned that Ann Oshel had presented a report to the Crime Cabinet on the top 10 offenders from the five Durham Police Department districts and reviewed the offender's criminal justice and mental health involvement, which created a lot of interest and requested a follow-up study. Gudrun asked the board if they would be interested in getting involved. CJRC staff was already involved and she was asking for a couple of volunteers from the board to participate. Lao and Judge McKown expressed interest in working with the local LME. Lao requested what it would take to implement the BART model used at the homeless shelter at CJRC. Rob stated it was a possibility and he would talk more with Gudrun and Jo about it. Gudrun added that the collaboration between CJRC and TDC had greatly improved and commended the Durham Center for their openness and willing to provide services to CJRC clients.

CJPP: Gudrun presented the Application for Continuation of Implementation Funding. She announced that she had not had any communication with DOC regarding the application process and was moving forward based on prior year deadlines. She added the application used the same amount as last year, since there was no indication to do anything differently. She mentioned that the draft line budget attached to the application would most likely change, because CJRC was still working on the County budget. Gudrun stated the overall application was the same as last year, with slight adjustments to the objectives. She provided a synopsis of the application that included program goals and objectives, program capacity data and services, memoranda of understanding and contracts. Gudrun stated the requested amount was \$183,064.00 and asked the board to review the budget. The board briefly discussed the Chamber of Commerce contract. Lao requested a summary on the listed goals and objectives. Gudrun explained each goal and the objective to meet those goals, there was a discussion about the objectives. Ms. Reckhow suggested raising the objective on program completion from its current goal of 40% to 45%. Gudrun explained that this was a hard population to serve, with difficult issues that were complex, and often hard to work with, not to mention very time consuming. The board discussed the percentage increase and agreed to raise the objective to 44%. There was a motion to approve the application with the one adjustment to the percentage increase to the second objective under reduction in probation revocations from 40% to 44%.

CJRC Program Update: Gudrun offered a program update and mentioned that renovations to the building had started. She announced a contract for CDBG funding for Project Restore received approval by the City

Council and the next cycle would start March 1. The OETI (Offender Employment Training Initiative) grant had selected Durham as one of the project sites; CJRC would be hosting an Employment Specialist who would work with probation cases. She stated the County budget process was ongoing and CJRC had received instructions from the County Manager's Office to reduce its budget by 3% for now. Gudrun mentioned the Federal Second Chance Act and the grant application solicitation for State, Local and Tribal governments. CJRC considered applying for the grant, though it required a 100% match. CJRC submitted a proposal to Duke's Sanford School of Public Policy this year for Pretrial, but the proposal was not selected. Jo reported on substance abuse treatment services and announced the new Discovery Group at CJRC. She briefly explained the goal of the group and its purpose, mentioning the high caseloads case managers and substance abuse counselors carried, and the problem with capacity, in addition to the limited number of intake slots. She stated it was taking longer for a client to enter treatment, so implementing the Discovery Group would allow clients to be engaged in a group prior to their intake. There was brief discussion on higher level of care clients referred to Durham Center for services; Rob requested that CJRC, TASC and TDC work together on serving those with higher-level issues and requested Dianne Smith with TASC to email him with information so they could get started on looking at serving those clients. Jo finished her report by providing a summary on the numbers of CJRC participants in the Family Program and pointed out the monthly report for board members to review.

News and Announcements: Gudrun announced the 18th Annual Vigil against Violence on Feb. 25 at 7PM at the Shepherd's House United Methodist Church, 107 N. Driver St. She again asked for board members interested in collaborating with the LME around mental health and substance abuse issues. Ann McKown and Lao Rubert were both interested in participating.

Gudrun announced a NCREAP Conference on March 9 and indicated CJRC could pay for the registration if any board member would like to attend.

Next CJPP Advisory Board Meeting scheduled for Wednesday, May 19, 2010, 1:00 PM.

Meeting adjourned at 2:30 PM.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE PARTNERSHIP
ADVISORY BOARD MEETING
February 17, 2010

Board Members Present: Chairperson Ann McKown, Secretary Gayle Harris, Public Health; Carolyn Titus, Deputy County Manager; Nina Bullock, Member At Large; Tommy Perry, Probation Designee; Ellen Reckhow, Durham County Commissioner; Lao Rubert, Member At Large; Ricky Padgett, Office of the Sheriff Designee; Marcus Weeks, Member At Large; DeWarren Langley, Member At Large

Board Members Absent: Vice Chair Kenneth Titus; Ellen Holliman, Mental Health; David Saacks, District Attorney; John Fitzpatrick, Criminal Defense Attorney; Deborah Schwartz, Member At Large; Antoinette Hilliard, Public Defender's Office; Jim Bjurstrom, Police Department Designee; Marcia Owen, Victim Services

Staff: Gudrun Parmer, Jo Iverson, Robin Heath, Jonie Coss

Guests: Dianne Smith, TASC; Robert Robinson, Durham Center; Doug Fuller, Durham Center

Introductions: Chairperson Ann McKown welcomed everyone and called the meeting to order. Members and guests introduced themselves.

Minutes: November 18, 2009 minutes were presented for approval. Minutes approved without corrections.

The Durham Center: Judge McKown introduced Rob Robinson from the Durham Center. Rob spoke on "Accessing Services in Durham County". Rob began by presenting an overview of The Durham Center (TDC) and its mission. He stated TDC's main responsibilities were accessing services for clients, monitoring services, quality management, and making certain there was an effective service system in place for Durham County. He announced that in the past year, the Durham Center had about 10,000 individuals from Durham County enrolled in services, those services included substance abuse services, mental health services, and developmental disabilities services. Rob presented an overview on how to access those services, he explained that TDC provided screening and triage services, which was the portal of entry into the system open from 8 AM to 6:30 PM. Calls received after 6:30 PM were transferred to Durham Center Access, which was open 24/7. He explained that a clinician screened the individual over the phone, determined the need for and level of services, and directed the individual to a provider. Rob mentioned that the crisis facility was located on the campus of Durham Regional Hospital and created in partnership between Durham County Government, Duke University, and The Durham Center. He added funding for the facility came from Duke University, Durham County Government, NC State, and Medicaid. The facility was equipped with 16 beds and 11 crisis stabilization chairs. Rob explained the center was not a long-term facility and the focus was to get people stabilized and move them out of the system; however, those in a crisis could stay up to 23 hours and/or up to 15 days for detoxification. He added the facility was medically monitored and a physician was either on site or on call. Rob mentioned a psychiatric walk-in clinic for individuals who needed immediate psychiatric services and the Mobile Crisis Team, which operated 24/7. Rob announced that the Assessment Team was a new service under the Durham Center and operated by CJRC, available for indigent adults without insurance. Discussing other points of entry, he mentioned all court-involved individuals would go through TASC for an evaluation. Rob added there was also an assessor located at Social Services who worked with Work First, Child Protective Services, and Food Stamp clients, assessing them for substance abuse and mental health services. Additionally, CJRC

provided mental health services in the Detention Center and at the Youth Home providing screenings, assessments, and brief counseling for kids who had psychiatric issues. A mental health liaison is located at the Department of Juvenile Justice and the Department of Social Services who works with families and court staff to help connect children to services. CJRC provided court ordered substance abuse screenings and forensic evaluations for adult offenders, as well as psychological assessments for adults and juveniles. Following the presentation, board members held an opened discussion about services and past concerns regarding CJRC clients not receiving mental health services. Dianne Smith stated that those issues were resolved by TDC; however, the problem was not in setting up the appointment for the client, the trouble was with clients keeping the appointment. The board raised the question of having a mental health person on staff at CJRC and asked if that would be beneficial or was Durham Access filling that need for CJRC clients. Robin Heath indicated there had been times when clients were in crisis and CJRC was not always clear about the best way to handle the situation. Robin stated another difficulty was the willingness and cooperation from the client. Judge McKown asked for clarification on what happened to dually diagnosed individuals. Rob answered the current system was not good at identifying dual diagnosis individuals and a provider in many cases was not paid unless the individual was placed in either mental health or substance abuse. Rob mentioned that there was only one service provider who operated a dual disorder program (IDT). The board discussed the increase in individuals within the criminal justice system and at CJRC who had both substance abuse and mental health disorders.

Gudrun mentioned that Ann Oshel had presented a report to the Crime Cabinet on the top 10 offenders from the five Durham Police Department districts and reviewed the offender's criminal justice and mental health involvement, which created a lot of interest and requested a follow-up study. Gudrun asked the board if they would be interested in getting involved. CJRC staff was already involved and she was asking for a couple of volunteers from the board to participate. Lao and Judge McKown expressed interest in working with the local LME. Lao requested what it would take to implement the BART model used at the homeless shelter at CJRC. Rob stated it was a possibility and he would talk more with Gudrun and Jo about it. Gudrun added that the collaboration between CJRC and TDC had greatly improved and commended the Durham Center for their openness and willing to provide services to CJRC clients.

CJPP: Gudrun presented the Application for Continuation of Implementation Funding. She announced that she had not had any communication with DOC regarding the application process and was moving forward based on prior year deadlines. She added the application used the same amount as last year, since there was no indication to do anything differently. She mentioned that the draft line budget attached to the application would most likely change, because CJRC was still working on the County budget. Gudrun stated the overall application was the same as last year, with slight adjustments to the objectives. She provided a synopsis of the application that included program goals and objectives, program capacity data and services, memoranda of understanding and contracts. Gudrun stated the requested amount was \$183,064.00 and asked the board to review the budget. The board briefly discussed the Chamber of Commerce contract. Lao requested a summary on the listed goals and objectives. Gudrun explained each goal and the objective to meet those goals, there was a discussion about the objectives. Ms. Reckhow suggested raising the objective on program completion from its current goal of 40% to 45%. Gudrun explained that this was a hard population to serve, with difficult issues that were complex, and often hard to work with, not to mention very time consuming. The board discussed the percentage increase and agreed to raise the objective to 44%. There was a motion to approve the application with the one adjustment to the percentage increase to the second objective under reduction in probation revocations from 40% to 44%.

CJRC Program Update: Gudrun offered a program update and mentioned that renovations to the building had started. She announced a contract for CDBG funding for Project Restore received approval by the City

Council and the next cycle would start March 1. The OETI (Offender Employment Training Initiative) grant had selected Durham as one of the project sites; CJRC would be hosting an Employment Specialist who would work with probation cases. She stated the County budget process was ongoing and CJRC had received instructions from the County Manager's Office to reduce its budget by 3% for now. Gudrun mentioned the Federal Second Chance Act and the grant application solicitation for State, Local and Tribal governments. CJRC considered applying for the grant, though it required a 100% match. CJRC submitted a proposal to Duke's Sanford School of Public Policy this year for Pretrial, but the proposal was not selected. Jo reported on substance abuse treatment services and announced the new Discovery Group at CJRC. She briefly explained the goal of the group and its purpose, mentioning the high caseloads case managers and substance abuse counselors carried, and the problem with capacity, in addition to the limited number of intake slots. She stated it was taking longer for a client to enter treatment, so implementing the Discovery Group would allow clients to be engaged in a group prior to their intake. There was brief discussion on higher level of care clients referred to Durham Center for services; Rob requested that CJRC, TASC and TDC work together on serving those with higher-level issues and requested Dianne Smith with TASC to email him with information so they could get started on looking at serving those clients. Jo finished her report by providing a summary on the numbers of CJRC participants in the Family Program and pointed out the monthly report for board members to review.

News and Announcements: Gudrun announced the 18th Annual Vigil against Violence on Feb. 25 at 7PM at the Shepherd's House United Methodist Church, 107 N. Driver St. She again asked for board members interested in collaborating with the LME around mental health and substance abuse issues. Ann McKown and Lao Rubert were both interested in participating.

Gudrun announced a NCREAP Conference on March 9 and indicated CJRC could pay for the registration if any board member would like to attend.

Next CJPP Advisory Board Meeting scheduled for Wednesday, May 19, 2010, 1:00 PM.

Meeting adjourned at 2:30 PM.